



Serial No. 02
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 398 of 2026

Date of Decision: 03.09.2026

1. Dr. Khrawburom Iawkoh
2. Shri Banshanglang Sutnga
3. Shri Draskan Dura
4. Shri Present Massar
5. Shri Aldrin Samiam

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council, Shillong
East Khasi Hills District represented by
The Secretary to the Executive Committee
2. Executive Member (EM) In-Charge Elaka KHADC,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
3. The Syiem of Hima Khyrim, Smit,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
4. Dr. R. Firmlywell Lyngdoh,
The Lyngdoh/Basan, Raid Mawlieh, Khyrim Syiemship,
R/o Madan Dewsaw Iew, Laitlyngkot,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
5. Shri Sukher Nongrum,
Suspended Sordar,
R/o Waia,
P.O. & P.S. Pynursla, Raid Nongshken
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya

... Respondent(s)



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. E.K. Sutnga, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. P.S. Nongbri, Adv. (For R 1&2)
Mrs. N.G. Shylla, Adv. (For R 3)
Mr. T.T. Diengdoh, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. J. Shylla, Adv. (For R 5)

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc:	Yes/No
ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. E.K. Sutnga, learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. Issue notice.
3. Ms. P.S. Nongbri, learned counsel is present and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents Nos. 1 & 2. Mrs. N.G. Shylla, learned counsel is present on behalf of the respondent No. 3, and Mr. T.T. Diengdoh, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. J. Shylla, learned counsel who submits that he is on caveat, is present on behalf of the respondent No. 5.



4. The petitioners herein are aggrieved with the alleged inaction on the part of the respondent No. 3, in instituting an enquiry into the affairs of the Sordar of Raid Nongshken.

5. It is submitted by Mr. E.K. Sutnga, learned counsel for the petitioners that the respondent No. 5, in spite there being allegations against him, has been allowed by the respondent No. 3, to conduct the affairs of the Raid, and in this connection has also summoned Dorbars. He therefore, prays for appropriate orders to at least restrain the functioning of the respondent No. 5, in the matters of the Raid.

6. Ms. P.S. Nongbri, learned counsel for the respondents Nos. 1 & 2, has however, drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure-14, which is a petition appended to the writ petition, which she submits, the writ petitioners themselves have approached the respondents Nos. 1 & 2, with regard to the same reliefs. She further submits that as the matter has just been received and notices issued, the writ petition before this Court is premature, inasmuch as, the respondents Nos. 1 & 2, will deal with the matter and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

7. Mr. T.T. Diengdoh, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. J. Shylla, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5, submits that he has no objection to the submission made by the learned counsel for the



respondents Nos. 1 & 2, and the writ petition may perhaps be disposed of at this stage itself.

8. On hearing the learned counsel for the parties and without further issuance of notice to the other parties, as the subject matter is short, this writ petition is hereby disposed of by directing the respondents Nos. 1 & 2, to take up the representation preferred by the writ petitioners, which is pending consideration and to dispose of the same preferably within a period of 4(four) weeks, from the date of this order is presented before the concerned respondents.

9. It is further made clear that concerned parties in the matter shall not take unnecessary adjournments and to extend full cooperation, so that the matter can be resolved at the earliest.

10. As ordered above, the matter stands closed and is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
03.09.2026
"V. Lyndem-AR-PS"