



Serial No. 17
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 337 of 2026

Date of Decision: 27.07.2026

- 1.Smti Clarissa Jordana Sohtun
- 2.Smti Shaiti Syiemlieh.
- 3.Smti Dira Lyngkhai
- 4.Smti Magrita Nongrum
- 5.Smti Ryngkatlang Shylla
- 6.Smti Ibandarishisha Bani
- 7.Shri Skandin Kharshiing,
- 8.Smti Roi Sohtun
- 9.Smti Shmidalin Khongsit
- 10.Smti Trilinda Sahbong
- 11.Smti Bilinda Hujon
- 12.Smti Trenita N. Marak.
- 13.Smti Ritha Sangma
14. Shri John Robert Kharkrang
15. Smti Taralin Marwein,
- 16.Iulis Marbaniang
- 17.Smti Phrinda Suting
- 18.Smti Belin Marbaniang
- 19.Smti Pdiangsuklang Wahlang
- 20.Smti Swiansina Kharsyntiew
- 21.Shri Seningstar Kharhunai
- 22.Shri Dolar Thongni
- 23.Smti Mesina Khyllait
- 24.Shri Robasstar Kurbah
- 25.Smti Damary Sohtun
- 26.Smti Sharailin Jyrwa
- 27.Smti Melinda Hashah
- 28.Shri Bankersharai Sohtun
- 29.Shri Ebenezer Warjri



- 30.Smti Sarlin Marwein
- 31.Smti Makrisha Kharkongor
- 32.Smti Kyntiewbhalin Lyngdoh.
- 33.Shri Banteilang L. Khingsngi
34. Shri Rangdajied Nongrum
35. Smti Dephina Marwein

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

- 1.State of Meghalaya represented by
The Chief Secretary, Government of Meghalaya.
2. The Commissioner & Secretary,
Urban Affairs Department, Government of Meghalaya,
Shillong.
3. The Deputy Commissioner,
East Khasi Hills District, Shillong,
Meghalaya
4. The Shillong Municipal Board represented by its
Chief Executive Officer, Shillong
5. The Superintendent of Police,
East Khasi Hills, Meghalaya
6. The Town Vending Committee, (TVC) Shillong,
East Khasi Hills, Meghalaya

... Respondent(s)

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

- | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| For the Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. M.F. Qureshi, Adv. with
Mr. L. Koch, Adv. |
| For the Respondent(s) | : | Mr. S. Dey, Adv. (For R 4) |
-



i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc:	Yes/No
ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. The petitioners herein who claim to be roadside vendors in the stretch from Jail Road to Polo Towers have prayed for restraining orders against their removal by the authorities.

2. Mr. S. Dey, learned counsel who is present on behalf of the Shillong Municipal Board (respondent No. 4), submits that the matter concerning the vendors is substantially before the Division Bench of this Court, and that the petitioners may file appropriate applications before the said Division Bench.

3. Mr. M.F. Qureshi, learned counsel for the petitioners has however resisted the suggestion of the learned counsel for the respondent No. 4, and submits that the vendors in question are on a different footing, and prays for some protection.

4. This Court on hearing the learned counsel for the parties and on examination of the materials available notes that the petitioners apart from claiming to be the roadside vendors in the said stretch have not annexed any materials to substantiate their case.



5. Be that as it may, as the matter concerns roadside vendors, where the same issue is pending consideration before a Division Bench of this Court, this writ petition is not entertained and the same is disposed of by allowing the petitioners the liberty to put in appropriate applications in the main matter, pending before the Division Bench, if so advised.

6. As ordered above, the matter stands closed and is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
27.07.2026
"V. Lyndem-AR-PS"