



Serial No.10
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C). No. 378 of 2025

Date of Decision: 13.07.2026

Shri. Tansuk Toi
S/o-(L)) Edro Shylla
R/o-Sngithiang Lower Mawprem
East Khasi Hills District, Shillong,
Meghalaya.

...Petitioner

-Versus-

1. The State of Meghalaya, represented by the
Chief Secretary, Government of Meghalaya,
Meghalaya.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (Rev.),
East Khasi Hills District,
Shillong, Meghalaya.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
East Khasi Hills District,
Shillong – 1, Meghalaya.
4. The Officer in Charge,
Jhalupara Outpost,
East Khasi Hills District,
Shillong, Meghalaya.

...Respondents



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. N.Syngkon, Adv.
Ms. N.Sangma, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. N.G.Shylla, Adv.
Ms. Z.E.Nongkynrih, GA.

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |
-

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, who claims to be the owner of a plot of land measuring 6105 sq.ft. situated at Lumpdeng, Upper Shillong, alleged purchased on 06-03-2021, is before this Court assailing an order dated 07-08-2025, issued by the respondent No. 2 [Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), East Khasi Hills District, Shillong], whereby he has been directed to stop the ongoing construction.

2. Mr. N.Syngkon, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the action of the respondent No. 2 is without any authority and illegal, inasmuch as, a valid building permission/sanction has been received from the office of the Executive Committee, Khasi Hills Autonomous District



Council, after which the construction had commenced. He therefore prays that appropriate orders be passed for quashing and setting aside the impugned letter.

3. Ms. N.G.Shylla, learned Sr. GA for the State respondents has submitted that the impugned letter itself would reveal that the petitioner has been directed to stop the ongoing and unauthorised constructions at a government revenue land being Pyrda Estate at 3rd Mile Upper Shillong. She submits that this being a revenue matter, the petitioner has alternate remedy to pursue before the revenue authorities.

4. This Court has heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and also perused the impugned letter.

5. Though the grievance of the writ petitioner is with the authority of the respondent No. 2 to issue such orders on the ground that it would amount to violation of the right guaranteed under Article 300-A, in the considered view of this Court, however, as the matter involves disputed questions of fact, especially with regard to the location of the said plot of land, the cause of the petitioner would be well served if an appropriate petition is made before the Revenue authorities, more particularly the Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), East Khasi Hills District for examination of the matter. It is made clear that the petitioner will be at liberty to raise all issues which have been canvassed before this Court. It is expected that the matter should be taken



up and addressed by the Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), East Khasi Hills District within a period of six weeks from the date a petition is filed.

6. As ordered above, matter stands closed and disposed of.
7. All interim applications also stand closed and disposed of.

Judge