



Serial No.04
Daily List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

Crl.Petn.No.69/2026

Date of Order: 28.07.2026

Smti. Pynkhreh Hinge

..... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Meghalaya, represented by the learned
Public Prosecutor.

2. Smti. Mayanur Begum

..... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Revati Mohite Dere, Chief Justice

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. S.A. Sheikh, Adv with
Mr. M.R. Marak, Adv

For the Respondents : Mrs. T. Yangi B., AAG with
Mr. S. Sengupta, Addl.PP
Mr. E.R. Chyne, GA
Mr. L.N. Areng, Adv for R/2

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

JUDGMENT: (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.



2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith with the consent of the parties and the aforesaid petition is taken up for final disposal.

3. By this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR registered with the Madanrting Police Station, East Khasi Hills District, being PS Case No.67 (4) 2026, dated 22nd April, 2026, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(2) and 351(2) of the BNS.

4. Quashing is sought on the premise that the petitioner and the respondent No.2 (original complainant) have amicably resolved their dispute and that the respondent No.2 has no objection, if the FIR registered at her behest against the petitioner is quashed.

5. It appears that there was some confrontation between the petitioner and the respondent No.2, who are living in the same compound and are neighbours. In the said incident which took place on 21st April, 2026, the petitioner assaulted the minor daughter, aged nine years old of the respondent No.2, pursuant



to which, the respondent No.2 lodged an FIR alleging the aforesaid offences. It appears that post the registration of the FIR, the matter was amicably settled and resolved between them with the intervention of the local headman, friends and well-wishers. Accordingly, the parties signed a document dated 7th May, 2026. The said document evidences that the parties have voluntarily settled the matter. The said document further reveals that the petitioner sought forgiveness from the respondent No.2 and has assured that she will not repeat her action in future. The respondent No.2 is present in person. She has tendered her photo copy of the Aadhaar Card duly attested by her. When questioned, she reiterates that she has no objection to the quashing of the FIR registered at her behest against the petitioner.

6. On the last date, the learned AAG tendered the medical certificate of the respondent No.2's daughter aged nine years. The said medical certificate shows that the injuries were simple in nature i.e., there was pain in the left forearm, left leg and



there was superficial laceration on the leg and abrasions on the left forearm.

7. Considering the medical certificate, none of the offences punishable under Sections 117(2) and 118(2) are made out qua the petitioner, inasmuch as, in order to invoke the said Sections 117(2) and 118(2), 'grievous hurt' is a condition precedent. As evident from the medical certificate, the injuries sustained were simple injuries and not grievous injuries. As far as Section 115(2) is concerned, the said offence is punishable with a term of imprisonment up to one year or with fine or both. Section 351(2), criminal intimidation is also punishable with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years or with fine or both.

8. Considering the aforesaid and having regard to the fact that the parties i.e., the petitioner and the respondent No.2 (original complainant) are amicably settled their dispute, there is no impediment if the petition is allowed.



9. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the FIR registered with the Madanrting Police Station, East Khasi Hills District, being PS Case No.67 (4) 2026, dated 22nd April, 2026, is quashed and set aside.

10. The petition is allowed and Rule is made absolute on the aforesaid terms.

11. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

12. The petitioner to pay costs of ₹5000/- to the respondent No.2 within two weeks from today.

13. Stand over to **12th August, 2026** for recording compliance with respect to the payment of costs of ₹5000/- by the petitioner to the respondent No.2.

14. All parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(Revati Mohite Dere)
Chief Justice