



**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
WP(C) No. 361 of 2026**

1. Mekhlipara Tea Company Limited, a company within the meaning of the Companies Act, 2013 having its registered office at 6 Bishop Lefroy Road, Paul Manision, 4th Floor, Suite No.19, Kolkata 700020, represented through its manager Mr. Amiya Kanti Biswas, aged about 56 years and residing at Chandrapur Colony, P.O. Chandrapur, Dharmanagar, North Tripura-799251.

2. Manager, Mekhlipara Tea Estate, P.O. Ranirbazar, West Tripura, - 799035.

.....**Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Tripura, through the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Tripura; Civil Secretariat, New Secretariat Complex, P.O.Kunjaban, P.S.- New Capital Complex, District- West Tripura 799010.

2. Arbitrator and District Magistrate Collector, West Tripura, Office of the District Magistrate & Collector, West Tripura, Office Lane, Agartala 799001.

3. The Competent Authority for NH-08-108B, West Tripura, being the (Addl. District Magistrate & Collector), old secretariat, Office of the District Magistrate & Collector, West Tripura, Office Lane, Agartala, District- West Tripura - 799001.

4. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Jirania, Office of the SDM, Jirania, West Tripura 799035.

5. National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highway, Govt. of India) having

office at 3rd Floor, UD Bhawan, Sakuntala Road, Agartala, Tripura 799001 & having Head Office having office at PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, Sansad Marg Area, New Delhi, Delhi 110001.

.....**Respondents.**

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. DJ Saha, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. SM Chakraborty, Adv. General. Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya, G.A.

HON'BLE JUSTICE DR. T. AMARNATH GOUD

ORDER

15.06.2026

[1] This present writ petition is filed by the petitioner tea estate claiming that the petitioner is the owner of the land and also is having title. In view of the acquisition made by the respondents, the petitioner sought for compensation and the matter was pending with the arbitrator and since, the same was not decided by the competent authority, the petitioner is before this Court.

[2] When the case is called Mr. DJ Saha, learned counsel representing Mr. BN Majumder, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner prays that the petitioner side wants to withdraw the case with liberty available under law. Mr. SM Chakraborty, learned Advocate General appearing for the respondents-State submits that the respondents have no objection with the same.

[3] It is pertinent to observe that invariably in all matters relating to land acquisition, this Court has consistently following that in the event, if any person claiming to be the owner of the acquired land needs to satisfy the competent authority or the court of law while claiming the adequate compensation under the act by duly establishing the title of property with relevant title deed documents as recognized under law. It is

hardly necessary to observe that revenue entries or khatians, by themselves, do not confer title to ownership.

[4] However, in view of the submission made by both the parties, this instant writ petition stands dismissed for non prosecution with liberty available under law. It is also made clear that all the legal and factual objections that can be raised by the respondents in future *lis* is kept open.

[5] As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

DR. T. AMARNATH GOUD, J

Paritosh

SABYASAC
HI GHOSH

Digitally signed
by SABYASACHI
GHOSH
Date: 2026.06.16
11:28:19 +05'30'



सत्यमेव जयते