

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP (C) No. 1099 of 2022

Haokhotinlen Vaiphei S/o Late Thongkholal Vaiphei, aged about 65 years, Ex-Officio Chairman, S. Molnom Village, Champhai Block, Kangpokpi District Manipur-795107.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Manipur
Rep. by its Secretary/Commissioner (Revenue),
Secretariat Building, Babupara, PO & PS Imphal, Imphal
West District, Manipur-795001.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Kangpokpi, Kangpokpi District,
P.O & P.S Kangpokpi, Manipur-795129.
3. National Highways Infrastructure Development
Corporation Limited,
(NHIDCL),
Rep. by its General Manager, Regional Office, Manipur at
Transit Hostel, Officer's Club, Lamphelpat, Imphal West,
Manipur -795004.

..... Respondents

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

For the Petitioner	:	Stephen P, Advocate
For the respondents No. 1 & 2	:	Shyam Sharma, GA
For the respondent No. 3	:	S. Kaminikumar, CGC Amrjit, Advocate
Date of Hearing	:	20.05.2026
Date of Order	:	20.05.2026

ORDER (ORAL)

[1] Heard Mr. Stephen P., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA appearing for the respondents No. 1 & 2 and Mr. Amarjit, learned counsel representing

Mr. S. Kaminikumar, learned CGC appearing on behalf of respondent No. 3.

[2] The present writ petition pertains to claim of compensation by the writ petitioner for the land acquired by the Government. According to the petitioner even though his land has been acquired, no compensation has been given by the authority for acquisition of his land. The learned counsel also submitted that during the pendency of this writ petition, the petitioner has approached the Deputy Commissioner/CALA, Kangpokpi District, Manipur (respondent No. 2) by submitting a representation dated Nil.04.2026 which was received by the office of the Deputy Commissioner/CALA, Kangpokpi District on 02.05.2026 and that the said representation is still pending for consideration and disposal by the respondent No. 2.

[3] It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner will be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent No. 2 to consider the said representation submitted by the petitioner on its own merit and strictly in terms of the applicable Acts and Rules and to dispose of the same by issuing a speaking order within a stipulated period.

[4] Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA and Mr. Amarjit, learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that as the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is confined to the consideration and disposal of the representation submitted by him, they have no objection in disposing of this writ petition as prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

[5] Considering the submission advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on perusal of the records, this Court is satisfied that the interest of justice will be served by issuing the following directions:-

- (1) The respondent No. 2, viz., the Deputy Commissioner/CALA, Kangpokpi District, Manipur is hereby directed to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner on its own merit and strictly in terms of the provisions of section 3H(4) of the National Highways Act, 1956 and to pass appropriate orders.
- (2) The whole process should be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receive of a certified copy of this order.

(3) The respondent No. 2 is further directed to communicate to the petitioner as well as to the respondent No. 3 about any decision taken by him in connection with the said representation within a period of 3 weeks from the date of taking such a decision.

[6] With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Sapana