

KABORAMBAM
AM
SANDEEP
SINGH

Digitally signed
by
KABORAMBAM
SANDEEP SINGH
Date: 2026.04.16
10:32:05 +05'30'

Sl. No. 3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

Mat. App. No. 19 of 2025

Smt. Anupama (Echal) Pukhram, aged about 36 years,
W/o Mayengbam Suraj Singh, resident of Lalambung
Makhong Takhellambam Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Imphal,
District- Imphal West, Manipur- 795001 and at
present of Moirang Pukhram Leikai, P.O. & P.S.
Moirang, District-Bishnupur, Manipur.

Appellant

Vs.

Shri. Mayengbam Suraj Singh aged about 40 years,
S/o M. Kunjo Singh resident of Lalambung Makhong
Takhellambam Leikai, P.O & P.S. Imphal, Imphal East
District, Manipur-795001

Respondent

BEFORE
**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

For appellant	Mr. Ajoy Pebam, Advocate
For respondent	Mr. R.K. Milan, Advocate
Date of Order	15.04.2026

ORDER

(M. Sundar, CJ)

[1] Captioned 'Matrimonial Appeal' ('Mat. App.' for the sake of brevity) is listed under the cause list caption 'FOR MEDIATION REPORT'.

[2] Mr. Ajoy Pebam, learned counsel on record for 'sole appellant' ('wife' for the sake of convenience) and Mr. RK Milan, learned counsel for 'sole respondent' ('husband' for the sake of convenience) are before this Court.

[3] From the case file, it comes to light that the Secretary of High Court Legal Services Committee (HCLSC), High Court of Manipur, under cover of a letter dated 30.03.2026 bearing Reference No. HCLSC/Med/2-2024/205 addressed to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court, has sent a Mediation Report as well as a 'Joint Memo of Settlement' both dated 25.03.2026 ('said JMoS' for convenience). In this covering letter, it has also been mentioned that the mediation is successful.

[4] Afore-referred both counsel on record before this Court, viz., Mr. Ajoy Pebam, learned counsel for appellant-wife and Mr. RK Milan, learned counsel for respondent-husband submit in one voice in unison that mediation was successful, parties on their own volition have arrived at a settlement and reduced the terms of settlement to writing *vide* said JMoS. Saying so, both learned counsel on record requested

for disposal of captioned matter in terms of said JMoS and added that parties, *i.e.*, appellant-wife and respondent-husband will stand bound by said JMoS.

[5] A scanned reproduction of the afore-referred covering letter dated 30.03.2026, Mediation Report dated 25.03.2026 and said JMoS dated 25.03.2026 are as follows:

**HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE
HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR**

No. HCLSC/ Med/2-2024/ 205 Imphal, the 30th March, 2026

To
The Registrar (Judl.),
High Court of Manipur

Subject: Transmission of case records.


Sir,

The following case records transmitted to the High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court of Manipur for mediation are being returned herewith as the mediation is "successful".

Sl. No.	Mediator	Case No./ Name
1.	Smt. Irom Sunita, Advocate	Mat. App. No. 19 of 2025 Anupama (Echal) Pukhram Vs Mayengbam Suraj Singh
2.	Smt. S. Gitanjali Devi, Advocate	Mat. App. No. 7 of 2025 with MC (Mat. App) No. 19 of 2025 H. Christina Vs Angong Kamei & 4 Others

The settlement agreement submitted by the above Mediators are enclosed herewith for doing the needful.

Yours sincerely,


(K. NIROJIT)
Secretary

High Court Legal Services Committee
High Court of Manipur

SP (Judl. S)
Kh M R
31-03-26

MEDIATION REPORT

Dated: 25/03/2026

Case details: Mat. Appeal No. No. 19 of 2025 (Anupama (Echal) Pukhrambam Vs. Mayengbam Suraj Singh)

Referred by: Hon'ble High Court of Manipur

Date of Notification: 12th February, 2026.

Memo of Parties:

Anupama (Echal) Pukhram, aged about 36 years, w/o Mayengbam Suraj Singh, resident of Lalambung Makhong takhellambam Leikai, P.O & P.S Imphal, District- Imphal West, Manipur, 795001 and at present, resident of Moirang Pukhram Leikai, P.O & P.S Moirang, District- Bishnupur, Manipur.

... APPELLANT

Versus

Mayengbam Suraj Singh, aged about 40 years, s/o M. Kunjo Singh, resident of Lalambung Makhong Takhellambam Leikai, P.O & P.S Imphal, District- Imphal West, Manipur, 795001 ... Respondent

OUTCOME OF THE MEDIATION:

- 18.02.2026:** The Appellant was out of station and sought 10 days' leave and was represented by her learned counsel. The respondent, along with his learned counsel was present.
- 27.02.2026:** All the parties were absent. The Appellant sought time as she was out of station for her medical treatment. However, the parties were represented by their respective learned counsels.
- 10.03.2026:** Learned counsels for their respective clients were present. However, the parties were unable to present.
- 23.03.2026:** The Appellant as well as the Respondent were present in person. Learned counsels of both the parties were also present. The parties amicably agreed to part their ways to discontinue their matrimonial life together.
- 25.03.2026:** The Appellant as well as the Respondent are present in person along with the Ld. Counsel of the Appellant. The parties amicably agree to withdraw and not to press the present Appeal pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Manipur. They have also agreed not to pursue any claims whatsoever in future against each other. Hence, the case is settled with the terms and conditions mentioned in the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

March 25th, 2026

From Sunita 25/03/26.

IROM SUNITA, MEDIATOR

MAT. APPEAL NO. 19 OF 2025

Anupama (Echal) Pukhram, aged about 36 years, w/o Mayengbam Suraj Singh, resident of Lalambung Makhong takhellambam Leikai, P.O & P.S Imphal, District- Imphal West, Manipur, 795001 and at present, resident of Moirang Pukhram Leikai, P.O & P.S Moirang, District- Bishnupur, Manipur.

... APPELLANT

Versus

Mayengbam Suraj Singh, aged about 40 years, s/o M. Kunjo Singh, resident of Lalambung Makhong Takhellambam Leikai, P.O & P.S Imphal, District- Imphal West, Manipur, 795001

... RESPONDENT

JOINT MEMO OF SETTLEMENT

Imphal, 25th March, 2026

The Appellant and Respondent above named respectfully submit as follows:

1. That the Respondent had filed a Mat. (Divorce) Suit no. 46 of 2020 before the Hon'ble Family Court, Imphal West, Manipur which was dismissed on 18/03/2024 on non-prosecution.
2. That the Appellant had filed Matrimonial (R&C) Suit No. 8 of 2022 before the Hon'ble Family Court, Manipur at Lamphelpat, seeking Restitution of Conjugal Rights, which was dismissed ex-parte vide judgment dated 06.06.2025.
3. That the Appellant thereafter preferred the present Appeal being no. Mat. App. No. 19 of 2025, under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, before the Hon'ble High Court of Manipur.
4. That apart from the above proceedings, the Appellant had also filed Cril. Complaint (DV) Case No. 32 of 2021 before the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Imphal East which was disposed by the Hon'ble Court with the award of Rs. 3,00,000 (Rupees Three lakhs only) as compensation amount to be paid to the Appellant by the Respondent.
5. That during the course of mediation before **Mediator Irom Sunita**, both parties have amicably resolved their disputes and agreed to settle all pending matters in the following terms:
 - a) The Appellant agrees not to press Mat. App. No. 19 of 2025 pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Manipur.
 - b) The Appellant further agrees not to claim the compensation amount of Rs. 3,00,000 (Rupees Three lakhs only) as awarded against the Respondent in the Cril. Complaint (DV) Case No. 32 of 2021 by the **Hon'ble Chief Judicial Magistrate, Imphal East**.

- c) The Respondent agrees not to oppose such withdrawals and shall cooperate in filing necessary applications before the concerned Court.
 - d) Both parties agree that the Restitution of conjugal rights proceedings (Matrimonial (R&C) No. 8 of 2022) shall stand resolved by mutual consent, with no further claims pressed by either party.
 - e) Both parties mutually agree to live separately and part their ways without interference from each other, and neither party shall initiate fresh proceedings whatsoever against each other.
 - f) Both the parties agree not to claim any kind of monetary claims including maintenance, alimony or any kind of costs from either of the parties.
 - g) That both the parties also amicably agree to file a joint application for Divorce on mutual consent before the concerned Family Court as soon as possible.
 - h) That this Joint Memo of Settlement constitutes a full and final settlement of all disputes arising out of the marriage between the parties. Both parties waive any future claims against each other in respect of matrimonial reliefs.
6. That the parties respectfully pray that the Hon'ble High Court of Manipur may be pleased to dispose of Mat. App. No. 19 of 2025 in terms of this settlement.

Witnesses:

H. Bembino Devi
 (HEMAM BEMBINO DEVI)
 Age : 33 yrs
 W/o PUKRAM SHYAMANANDA SINGH
 Pukhran Leikai, Moihang

K. Nares Kumar Singh
 (K. NARESH KUMAR SINGH) Age : 37 yrs
 S/o Kojam Chitran Kumar Singh
 Sangjamai Okram Leikai

Anupama Pukhran
 Appellant (Wife)
 Smt. Anupama (Echal) Pukhran

M. Suraj Singh
 Respondent (Husband)
 Shri. Mayengbam Suraj Singh

Iron Sunita 25/03/26
 IROM SUNITA, MEDIATOR

[6] Both counsel on record also confirm that the appellant-wife and respondent-husband signed the said JMoS in the presence of each other and witnesses mentioned thereat and add that both parties were present before the Mediator.

[7] Before concluding, this Court deems it appropriate to make a mention about clause 5(a) of said JMoS. In Clause 5(a), it has been recorded that appellant-wife agrees to not to press captioned matter but both learned counsel on record submit that this only means that appellant is not pursuing captioned Mat. App. on merits. On this basis, both counsel request for disposal of captioned matter in terms of said JMoS.

[8] In the light of the narrative thus far, captioned Mat. App. is disposed of in terms of said JMoS (scanned and reproduced supra) which shall form part of instant order. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sandeep
JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE