

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**WP (C) No. 488 of 2026 with
MC (WP (C)) No. 504 of 2026**

UNACCO SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT TRUST, Regd. No. 941(V)
under Indian Trust Act, 1882, Porompat, Imphal East, Manipur
represented by Pukhrambam Sanayaima Singh, aged about 54
years old, S/o. (Late) Pukhrambam Shamu Singh, a resident of
Sagolband Lukram Leirak, P.O. Imphal, P.S. Lamphel, Imphal
West District, Manipur-795005.

.....Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Manipur through the Commissioner (Revenue), Govt. of Manipur, Old Secretariat South Block, P.O. & P.S. Imphal, Manipur – 795001.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Imphal East District, having its office at Porompat, P.O. & P.S. Porompat, Imphal East District, Manipur-795005.
3. The Sub-Deputy Collector, Bashikhong Imphal East District, Manipur, P.O. Singjamei & P.S. Irilbung, Imphal East District, Manipur-795008.
4. The Commissioner/Secretary/Principal Secretary (RD & PR), Government of Manipur, New Secretariat Complex, Mantripukhri, P.O. & P.S. Mantripukhri, Imphal East-795002.
5. The Director, Directorate of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Manipur, State Institute of Rural Development (SIRD) Porompat, P.O. & P.S. Porompat, Imphal East-795005.

..... Respondents

**B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

For the Petitioner : Mr. L. Shyam, Advocate
For the respondent : Mr. Shyam Sharma, GA
Date of Hearing : 25.06.2026
Date of Order : 25.06.2026

ORDER (ORAL)

[1] Heard Mr. L. Shyam, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA appearing on behalf of all the respondents.

In view of the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and as agreed to by both the counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition is being disposed of at the motion stage.

[2] At the outset, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the present writ petition can be disposed of by issuing a simple direction to the respondents that no eviction proceedings, as alleged in this writ petition, shall be taken up by the respondents without following due process of law.

[3] The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner accordingly prays for disposing of this writ petition by issuing such a simple direction to the respondents.

[4] Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned GA appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that it is settled law of the land that any eviction proceeding cannot be taken up by the authorities without following due process of law. The learned GA accordingly submitted that the present writ petition can be disposed of as prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

[5] Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on perusal of the record, this Court is of the considered view that it will meet ends of justice to issue the following directions:-

(i) The respondents are hereby directed not to initiate any eviction proceedings, as alleged in the present writ petition, without following due process of law.

(ii) It is made clear that the above direction has been issued without touching upon the merit of the present writ petition.

[6] With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition as well as the connected application are hereby disposed of.

JUDGE

Sapana