

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(WP(C)) No. 452 of 2026

(Ref:- WP(C) No. 111 of 2026)

Spartan Sambanduram

... Applicant

Vs.

Branch Manager, Canara Bank, Thoubal
Branch & ors.

... Respondents

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

15-06-2026

Mr. Kh. Lupenjit, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the applicant; Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 1 and Mr. R.K. Surjit, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondents No. 2 and 3. None appeared on behalf of the respondents No. 4 to 6.

The present application has been filed with the prayer for allowing correction of the Bank Account No. of the petitioner as proposed in para 2 of the present application. The proposed correction are as under:-

"Details of the proposed for correction are as follows:

- (i) *in the page no. 3, para no. 3, third line as "(ii) Account No. 3020920020830" whereas it should be "(ii) Account No. 36897387921".*

- (ii) in the page no. 3, para no. 3, fourth line as **“(ii) Account No. 30508240034819”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account No. 505310310000159”**.
- (iii) page no. 4, para no. 5, second line as **“Account being No. 3020920020830”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account being No. 36897387921”**.
- (iv) in page no. 4, para no. 6, second line **“(ii) Account No. 30508240034819”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account No. 505310310000159”**.
- (v) and also in prayer portion i.e. at page No. 6, para iv), third line as **“Account being No. 3020920020830”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account being No. 36897387921”**
- (vi) para no. v), third line **“Account being No. 3020920020830”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account being No. 36897387921”**.
- (vii) In the interim portion i.e. at page no. 6, seventh line as **“(ii) Account No. 30508240034819”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account No. 505310310000159”**.
- (viii) In the interim portion i.e. at page no. 6, tenth line as **“Account being No. 3020920020830”** whereas it should be **“(ii) Account being No. 36897387921”**.

Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel and Mr. R.K. Surjit, learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1, 2 and 3 fairly submitted that they have no objection in allowing the proposed correction as quoted hereinabove.

In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, this court is of the considered view that it will

be in the interest of justice to allow the proposed correction. Accordingly, the present application is allowed.

The applicant is directed to file a recast petition after making correction in WP(C) No. 111 of 2026 within a period of one week from today.

With the aforesaid direction, the present application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Devananda

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

MC(WP(C)) No. 117 of 2026

(Ref:- WP(C) No. 111 of 2026)

Spartan Sambanduram

... Applicant

Vs.

Branch Manager, Canara Bank, Thoubal
Branch & ors.

... Respondents

With

WP(C) No. 111 of 2026

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

O R D E R

15-06-2026

Mr. Kh. Lupenjit, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. L. Gunindro, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 1 and Mr. R.K. Surjit, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the respondents No. 2 and 3. None appeared on behalf of the respondents No. 4 to 6.

In view of the order passed today in the connected MC(WP(C)) No. 452 of 2026, let these cases be listed again on 22-07-2026.

Registry is directed to reflect the name of all the counsel appearing for the parties in the cause list.

JUDGE

Devananda