

KABOR
AMBAM
SANDEE
P SINGH

Digitally signed
by
KABORAMBAM
SANDEEP SINGH
Date: 2026.03.10
15:53:52 +05'30'

Sl. No. 27 & 28

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**Crl. A. No. 10 of 2025
With
MC (Crl. A.) No. 13 of 2025**

The Officer-in-charge, Imphal Police Station, P.O. & P.S.
Imphal, Imphal West-District, Manipur – 795001.

Appellant

Vs.

1. Mrs. Lhaineikim Lhouvum @ Kikim, aged about 31 years, W/o M. Thangmang Haokip, resident of Molnom Village, P.O. & P.S. Churachandpur, Churachandpur District, Manipur, Pin No. 795128.
2. Mark Thangmang Haokip, aged about 39 years, S/o Limkhosei Haokip, resident of Molnom Village, P.O. & P.S. Churachandpur, Churachandpur District, Manipur Pin No. 795128.

Respondents

BEFORE
**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. M. SUNDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH
(ORDER)**

(Order of the Court was made by M. Sundar, CJ)

09.03.2026

[1] Captioned Criminal Appeal (Crl. A) is a statutory appeal under Section 21 of the 'National Investigation Agency Act, 2008 (34 of 2008)' (hereinafter, 'NIA Act' for the sake of brevity, convenience and clarity) and captioned Miscellaneous Case (MC) thereat is one seeking

stay of operation of the impugned order. To be noted, 'impugned order' is 'order dated 15.04.2025 in Cril Misc. (B) Case No. 03 of 2023' made by 'the Court of Special Judge (NIA) Imphal west' (hereinafter 'said NIA Court' for the sake of convenience) granting bail to R-2 before us. To be noted, 'R-2' is an abbreviation for '2nd respondent' and similar abbreviation will be used with regard to '1st respondent' also. It is also to be noted that R-1 is spouse of R-2 and R-1 moved said NIA Court.

[2] In the hearing today, Mr. Niranjana Sanasam, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, for appellant is before us on the Video Conferencing (VC) platform and Mr. Julius Riamei, learned counsel for two respondents is before us in the physical court.

[3] Main CrI. A. was taken up with the consent of learned prosecutor and learned counsel for respondents.

[4] Learned counsel on both sides submit in unison and in one voice that afore-referred impugned order was made by said NIA Court prior to framing of charges but thereafter charges were framed on 02.02.2026, as charges framed did not pertain to provisions under Chapter IV and VI of the 'Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (37 of 1967)' (hereinafter 'UAPA' for the sake of brevity and convenience), R-2 moved another bail application in said NIA Court being criminal Misc. (B) case No. 63 of 2026 in Special Trial No. 10 of 2022, said NIA

Court *vide* order dated 26.02.2026, after full contest i.e., after hearing both sides has granted bail. It is also submitted by both sides without any disputation or contestation that R-2 has since been enlarged on bail.

[5] In the light of the narrative thus far, both sides submit without any disputation or contestation that captioned Crl. A. and captioned MC thereat have become infructuous.

[6] Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned Crl. A. and captioned MC thereat are dismissed as having become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Sandeep

FR/NFR