

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

1. WP(C)) No. 145 of 2025

M/S Koireng Food Imphal represented by its proprietor namely Shri Leisel Ngamshing Koireng, aged about 44 years old S/o L. Sonsorang Koireng, a permanent resident of Longa Koireng, Senapati, P.O. Langjing & P.S. New Keithelmanbi, Senapati District, Manipur – 795113.

...Applicant/s

-Versus-

1. The Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal (RIMS in short) represented by its Director having its office at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences Complex, P.O. & P.S. Lamphel, Imphal West, Manipur- 795004.

.....Official Respondents

-B E F O R E-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH

For the Petitioner	::	Mr. N. Jotendro, Sr. Advocate Md. Abdul Baquee Khan, Advocate
For the Respondents	::	Mr. S.Vijayanand Sharma, SPC
Dates of Hearing	::	18-04-2026
Date of Order	::	<u>18-04-2026</u>

ORDER (O R A L)

Heard Mr. N. Jotendro, learned senior counsel assisted by
Md. Abdul Baquee Khan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners

and Mr. S. Vijayanand Sharma, learned senior panel counsel appearing for the respondents.

[2] At the outset, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been hospitalized and undergoing medical treatment due to his illness. It has been further submitted that at present, the petitioner is at Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and his doctor has given advise for carrying out surgical operation of the petitioner. In that view of the matter, learned senior counsel submitted that the petitioner will not be in a position to run the canteen now and that the present writ petition can be closed by giving one week's time to the dependents/family members of the petitioner to voluntarily vacate the said canteen in question.

[3] Mr. S. Vijayanand Sharma, learned senior panel counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that he has no objection in disposing of the present writ petition as proposed on behalf of the petitioner. However, the learned counsel submitted that a fix time frame be given by this Court for vacation of the said canteen voluntarily and in the event of failure to do so within the time frame, the authorities may be permitted to vacate the same unilaterally.

[4] In view of the submission made above, the present writ petition is disposed of with the following directions :-

(i) The petitioner or any of his dependent/family members are at liberty to voluntarily vacate the premises of the canteen in question within a period of one week from today.

(ii) In the event, the dependent/family members of the petitioner failed to vacate the occupation of the canteen in question within the aforesaid period of one week, the respondents are at liberty to vacate the same unilaterally.

[5] With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

Dhakeshori