

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46197 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2024 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

Chandan Kumar S/o Vinod Ravidas @ Ram Binod Ravidas R/o Village-
Sillor, P.S- Pakaribarawan, Dist- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXX YYY R/o Village- Sillor, P.S- Pakaribarawan, Dist- Nawada.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhijeet Abhigyan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 19-08-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Pakaribarawan P.S. Case No. 195 of 2024, instituted for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 504, 506, 366-A of the
Indian Penal Code, Sections 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
allegedly enticed away the informant's daughter with the
intention of solemnizing marriage with her. It is further alleged
that the petitioner's father and grandfather abused and
intimidated the informant when she sought her daughter's
whereabouts.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the victim has stated in her statement recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS that she was already in love with the petitioner and due to which she went with the petitioner and solemnized marriage with him on her own will. The petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2026 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant also submits that the petitioner has solemnized marriage with the victim who is a minor girl. It is further submitted that the victim has went with the petitioner on her own will but as a minor girl, consent of the victim as a minor has got no value in the eye of law. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

