

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43717 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-280 Year-2025 Thana- MAGADH UNIVERSITY District- Gaya

1. Mahavir Chaudhari S/o Rameshwar Chaudhari R/o Village - Koshila, P.O - Kosila, P.S - Magadh University, Bodhgaya, District - Gaya
2. Geeta Devi W/o Nagendra Chaudhari R/o Village - Koshila, P.O - Kosila, P.S - Magadh University, Bodhgaya, District - Gaya
3. Vikas Kumar S/o Nagendra Chaudhari R/o Village - Koshila, P.O - Kosila, P.S - Magadh University, Bodhgaya, District - Gaya
4. Arvind Kumar S/o Mahaveer Chaudhari R/o Village - Koshila, P.O - Kosila, P.S - Magadh University, Bodhgaya, District - Gaya
5. Ravi Kumar S/o Suresh Chaudhari R/o Village - Dhangai, P.O - Pataluka, P.S - Dhangai, District - Gaya
6. Anjali Kumari W/o Ravi Chaudhari R/o Village - Dhangai, P.O - Pataluka, P.S - Dhangai, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sarita Kumari D/o Shankar Chaudhari R/o Village - Sahanu, P.O - Saindwa, Pan Bagula, P.S - Mohanpur, District - Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 82(1), 85,
351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections
3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of the



informant was solemnized with co-accused Akash Kumar in the year 2025. Thereafter, it is alleged that these petitioners, along with other F.I.R. named accused persons, tortured and harassed the informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry of Rs. 5,00,000/- (Five Lakhs).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no. 1 is *Nana Sasur*, petitioner no. 2 is Mother-in-law, petitioner no. 3 is *Bhaisur*, petitioner no. 4 is *Mamu Sasur*, petitioner no. 5 is *Nandosi* and petitioner no. 6 is *Nanad* of the informant. Allegation against petitioners is general and omnibus. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and they are simply victims of over-implication. They are not concerned with family affairs of the couple. Moreover, thrust of accusation is against husband of the informant. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the fact that thrust of accusation is against the husband of the informant, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within



a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned A.C.J.M.-VIII, Gayajee in connection with Magadh University P. S. Case No. 280 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Sanjay/Alok-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

