

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44209 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2025 Thana- Shahpur P.S. District- Nawada

1. Mintu Kumar S/o Late Niwas Singh R/o Village - Bhagwatpur, PO - Jalalpur, PS - Shahpur, District - Nawada
2. Shailendra Singh @ Shailendra Kumar S/o Naresh Singh R/o Village - Bhagwatpur, PO - Jalalpur, PS - Shahpur, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 318(2), 318(4), 336(2), 338, 340(2) and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that he received secret information that Vikky along with his 8-9 associates are indulging in cyber fraud by deceiving innocent people, on pretext of providing cheap loan from Reliance Finance Company by using fake mobile number, accordingly, he reached the place of occurrence and one accused



was arrested and rest fled, the apprehended accused disclosed his name as Vikky and disclosed that they indulge in cyber crime by using fake number and disclosed the name of accused who fled including the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case based on confessional statement of Vikky in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioners were not arrested from the spot.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners and submits that specific allegation of committing cyber fraud is alleged. It is also submitted that in the State of Bihar even cyber crime is on a rise. It is next submitted that since name of the petitioners transpired in the statement of an apprehended accused, as such, interrogation of the petitioners is required for ascertaining their involvement in the occurrence. It is also submitted that the informant alleges that he received information that Vikky along with 8-9 of his associates are indulging in cyber fraud by deceiving innocent people and when the informant reached the place of occurrence, all the accused fled except one, as such, the apprehended accused at the place of occurrence only disclosed



the name of the petitioners. The learned APP next submits that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

