

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9079 of 2026

Mithilesh Kumar @ Mittu Kumar Sah S/o Shambhu Sah, R/o Village-Farkia,
Ward No. -08, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Araria.
2. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Araria, District-Araria.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Araria, District- Araria.
4. The Circle Officer, Rani Ganj, District-Araria.
5. Bijay Sharma, S/o Late Badlu Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.
6. Dilip Sharma, S/o Late Suresh Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.
7. Ashok Sharma, S/o Late Suresh Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.
8. Dinesh Sharma, Late Suresh Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.
9. Sajjan Sharma, S/o Late Ganesh Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.
10. Chandan Kumar Sharma, s/o Late Ganesh Sharma, R/o-Farkia, P.O.-Farkia, P.S.-Boushi (Rani Ganj), District-Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad, Advocate
		Mr. Reyaj Ahmad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Yadav, SC 18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANA VIKRAM SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 The case has been listed under the heading ‘For Orders (On Office Notes)’ in view of the defects so pointed out by the stamp reporter.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ application with liberty to approach the proper forum to challenge the order 18.03.2025 passed by the



Sub Divisional Officer, Araria in Appeal Case No. 6/2018-19.

3. Learned counsel for the State did not object to it and submits that instead of availing the appropriate remedy, the petitioner has directly rushed to this Court under the writ jurisdiction, as such, the present writ application is not maintainable.

4. In view of the prayer so made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ application is disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the appropriate forum under the proper provisions of law.

5. It goes without saying that if any question of limitation arises before the competent authority, the same shall be considered taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was pursuing the issue before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stand/s disposed off.

(Rana Vikram Singh, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

