

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24004 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-482 Year-2025 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

Uday Kumar Mishra, Son of Ramchandra Mishra, Resident of Village-
Chandauna, P.S.- Jaley, District- Darbhanga (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rajesh Singh, S/O-Radheshyam Singh, R/O- P55, Sector-44 CJDARCL House, P.S.-Sushant Lok, District-Gurugram, Hariyana.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, Advocate Mr. Shubhrashree Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 13-08-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party
no.2.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Kanti P.S. Case no.482 of 2025 registered under sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that goods worth Rs.78.32 lacs (approx.) was loaded on the truck owned by the petitioner for being transported from Guwahati to Patna. However, the goods loaded on the truck were stolen on way in the territory of Bihar and as such the F.I.R.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. Except for some vague statements made by the driver before the police as has been mentioned in the F.I.R., no material has transpired in course of investigation to connect with the allegations that the petitioner was in any way responsible for disappearance of the goods loaded on his truck. The petitioner has remained in custody since 10.10.2025 and investigation in the case is complete with submission of charge-sheet.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2. Learned A.P.P. for the State submits that the petitioner has confessed to having committed the crime.

6. Learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no.2 submits that from reading of the F.I.R. itself, the driver of the vehicle clearly mentions that the petitioner/owner of the truck was also on the truck for some time and thereafter the vehicle came to be parked at Narayani petrol pump where the goods disappeared.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R, the petitioner having remained in custody



since 10.10.2025 and charge-sheet having been submitted in the case, the Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

8. As such the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 12.5.2026 in connection with Kanti P.S. Case no.482 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, West, Muzaffarpur is hereby confirmed on the following conditions :-

(I) The petitioner shall remain physically present/properly represented in the learned trial Court on each date of the case/trial and shall cooperate in the trial.

(II) The learned trial Court shall proceed with the trial expeditiously not granting any time except on an order to that effect by a superior Court.

(III) In case, the learned trial Court is of the opinion that the trial is being delayed due to non-cooperation on part of the petitioner, the learned trial Court may cancel the bail bond of the petitioner and take him into custody till conclusion of the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

