



2025:JHC-1 K0:29171

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 12669 of 2025**

Ramu Gupta

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Lko.

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

|                               |   |                           |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Counsel for Applicant(s)      | : | Surya Prakash, Arsh Bugga |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A.                      |

---

**Court No. - 15**

**HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.**

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned AGA for the State and perused the record.

In the prosecution case, it is alleged that the minor daughter of the informant, aged about 16 years, was enticed away by the applicant.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS, the victim stated that she is 21 years of age. It is further submitted that she categorically stated that she had gone to Himachal Pradesh of her own free will after a quarrel with her mother and brother. In her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, the victim stated that she was ousted from the house by her mother at about 11:00 p.m., thereafter she went to Himachal Pradesh, where she stayed for about four months and worked, and subsequently both solemnized their marriage in a temple at Sitapur. It is also submitted that the victim declined to undergo medical examination.

It is further submitted that there is no possibility of the applicant of fleeing away after being released from jail or tampering with the witnesses. In case the applicant is enlarged on bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail.

Learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts as argued by the learned counsel for the applicant.

Upon due consideration of the facts that, in both the statements of the victim

recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the BNSS, she has stated that she went with the applicant of her own free will; as per the said statements she is 21 years of age; no radiological examination of the victim has been conducted; the applicant is in judicial custody since 18.10.2025 without any criminal antecedents; and the charge-sheet has already been filed, this Court finds it to be a fit case for enlarging the applicant on bail

Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed***.

Let the applicant ***Ramu Gupta***, involved in Crime No. 868/2025, U/S: 87, 137(2) B.N.S., 2023, Police Station: Kotwali Sadar, District: Lakhimpur Kheri, be released on bail in the aforementioned case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Magistrate/Court concerned, subject to following conditions:-

- (i) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- (ii) the applicant shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses;
- (iii) the applicant shall appear on each and every date fixed by the trial Court.

Any other condition(s) which the trial court/concerned court may deem fit and proper, in the circumstances of the case, may also be imposed.

It is clarified that the observations made in this order are confined to the present bail application and shall have no bearing on the merits of the trial.

**(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)**

**December 16, 2025**  
R.C.