



2025:004 - 1 K0:89007

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 10566 of 2025

Sabir

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Home Lko.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Mangal Sen Yadav, Deepansi, Sonal Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 29

HON'BLE DIVESH CHANDRA SAMANT, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant; learned AGA for the State and perused the material available on record.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that due to typing mistake in the prayer clause the word "only one surety" has been typed and prayed to consider only one set of two surety. Accordingly, the prayer is accepted.

3. By means this application, the applicant has prayed to relax the condition of bail to the extent that the applicant be allowed to file two sureties in any case and the same shall be treated adequate in all three cases in which he has already been bailed out. The detail of that cases are given below:-

a. Case Crime No.470 of 2023, under Sections 279, 364-A, 120-B IPC, Police Station Pali, District Hardoi.

b. Case Crime No.471 of 2023, under Sections 307 IPC & 27(2) Arms Act, Police Station Pali, District Hardoi.

c. Case Crime No.140 of 2024, under Section 2/3 Gangster Act, Police Station Pali, District Hardoi.

4. It is stated by learned counsel for the applicant that against the applicant there are three cases in which he has already been granted bail, but because of non-availability of about 06 sureties, he is unable to file bail bonds, therefore, in this regard a prayer has been made that the applicant may be permitted to file two sureties in all the cases. It is also stated that in similarly

situated matters, this Court has granted relief.

5. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that in similar circumstances, namely, in the case of **Hani Nishad @ Mohammad Imran @ Vikky Vs. The State of Uttar Pradesh [SLP (Crl.) No. 8914-8915/2018]**, the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 29.10.2018 has permitted the applicant to submit personal bonds and two sureties of heavy amount to hold good in all the cases. Similarly, orders have been passed by a co-ordinate bench of this Court as well and one of such orders in **Writ Petition (MS) No. 30481 of 2018 (Imran Vs. State of U.P.)** decided on 16.11.2018, has also been filed.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is provided that the applicant shall furnish a personal bond and two heavy sureties (one surety should be of family member of the applicant) each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned in any one case. The said surety shall be valid in all above mentioned cases, where the bail order has been passed.

7. With the above observations, this application under Section 482 CrPC is finally **disposed** of.

December 15, 2025
I.A. Siddiqui

Divesh Chandra Samant,J.)