



2025:004 -1 K0:2507H

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

**CRIMINAL MISC ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 438 CR.P.C.
No. - 1384 of 2025**

Smt. Geeta @ Geeta Devi @ Geeta Mishra

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Home Deptt. Lko. And Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Jaibind Singh Rathour, Abhitendra Singh, Manish Kumar, Yogendra Pratap Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 16

HON'BLE BRIJ RAJ SINGH, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, Mr. Vivek Gupta, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

The present application has been filed by the applicant seeking anticipatory bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.346 of 2005, under Sections 498-A, 304-B IPC and Sections 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station- Sidhauri, District- Sitapur.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. Learned counsel for applicant has further submitted that general allegation of dowry demand has been levelled against the applicant, who is *jethani* of deceased.

He has further submitted that anticipatory bail application of co-accused **Bitani @ Chandra Prabha @ Chandra Prabha Pandey**, who is mother-in-law of deceased has been allowed vide order dated **11.12.2025** passed in **NABAIL No. 1359 of 2025** and case of the applicant stands on identical footing, hence, applicant is also entitled for anticipatory bail on the ground of parity.

He has further submitted that the deceased committed suicide by hanging and there is no external injury found on deceased except ligature mark, which was caused due to hanging and the deceased died due to hanging.

He has further submitted that the applicant had no knowledge about pending case.

He has lastly contended that there is no possibility of the applicant of fleeing away from the judicial process or tampering with the witnesses and in case, the applicant is enlarged on anticipatory bail, the applicant shall not misuse the liberty of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.G.A has, however, opposed the prayer for grant of bail but he has not disputed the above contention made by the learned counsel for the applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, perusing the record and also considering the nature of allegations, arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, I find it to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail. The application is **allowed**.

On the applicant surrendering before the trial Court, let the applicant Smt. Geeta @ Geeta Devi @ Geeta Mishra be released on anticipatory bail in aforesaid FIR number on her furnishing a personal bond of Rs.5,000/- each to the satisfaction of Court below concerned with the following conditions:

- (a) The applicant shall execute a bond to undertake to attend the hearings;
- (b) The applicant shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused or suspected of the commission; and
- (c) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.
- (d) The applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

December 17, 2025
Mohit Singh/-

(Brij Raj Singh,J.)