



2025:004 - 1 K03:29781

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 2018 of 2025**

Preeti Pandey

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko And
Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sudhir Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 15

HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant apprehending her arrest in Case Crime No. 0252 of 2025, under Sections 3(1) and 2(b)(i) of Uttar Pradesh Gangsters and Anti-social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986, Police Station Hazratganj, District Lucknow.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that on the basis of three cases shown in the gang chart, the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. In all the three cases mentioned in the gang chart, the applicant has been granted bail; in one case, the applicant has been anticipatory bail and in another case, the applicant has been granted regular bail by the learned trial court. He further submits that the applicant has no other criminal history except for the three cases mentioned in the gang chart.
4. It is also submitted that the applicant has cooperated during the course of investigation and further undertakes to cooperate in the investigation.
5. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the application and has submitted that the investigation is going on.
6. On due consideration to the fact that three cases have been shown in the applicant's criminal history in the gang chart and there is prima facie no

other material available from the perusal of the gang chart, it also appears that no joint meeting was convened as required under Rule 5 of the Gangsters Rules, and the signatures of some authorities are of different dates. Taking into account these aspects, along with the undertaking given on behalf of the applicant to cooperate in the trial, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicant is entitled to be granted anticipatory bail in view of the judgment of the Hon^{ble} Supreme Court in *Sushila Aggarwal and others vs. State (NCT of Delhi) and another*, (2020) 5 SCC 1, subject to her cooperation in the trial.

7. In view of the above, it is provided that in the event of arrest, the applicant shall be released on anticipatory bail in the aforesaid Case Crime number on her furnishing a personal bond with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer/S.H.O. concerned with the following conditions:-

8. The applicant shall report to the investigating officer within ten days from today. She shall cooperate in the investigation and she will not influence the witness. The accused-applicant will remain present as and when the arresting officer/I.O./S.H.O. concerned call(s) for investigation/interrogation. The applicant shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

9. The application is allowed.

(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)

December 16, 2025

k kb/