



2025:JHC-1 K03:2947H

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 2812 of 2024

Mushtaq Ali

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

Kumar Vineet, Managing Director, U.P. Coop. Sugar
Factories Federation Ltd., Lko.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Aprajita Bansal, Anilesh Tewari, Karan Agarwal, Surabhi Rawat
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	K.K. Singh

Court No. - 8

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR, J.

The impleadment application is listed along with the record of the case.

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed a compliance affidavit which has already been served upon the respondent on 05.05.2025 against which no objection has been filed.

As the record is available and after going through the record, the matter is being decided today itself.

The present contempt application has been preferred against the alleged non compliance of the judgment and order dated 28.03.2024 passed in Writ A No. 2525 of 2024. The relevant paragraphs of the same are quoted hereinbelow:-

"5. Considering innocuous prayer being made by learned counsel for petitioner and without entering into the merits of the case, opposite party No.2 i.e. Managing Director, Uttar Pradesh Cooperative Sugar Factories Federation Limited, Lucknow is directed to make payment of admitted dues of petitioner, if any, within a period of eight weeks from the date a certified copy of this order is produced before said authority. The aspect of interest on delayed payment may also be considered by opposite party.

6. With the aforesaid observation, petition stands disposed of."

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the compliance affidavit has been filed enclosing therein the copy of the order dated 01.08.2024 regarding payment to the applicant.

In reply, learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the amount has been paid but with delay but no interest has been paid as directed by the writ Court, hence, the judgment and order has not been complied with.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that while rejecting the interest, the reason has been given in the order itself.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case, it is found that there was a direction for payment of retiral dues and the for the interest, the Court has directed that the respondent shall also consider the case of grant of interest on retiral dues, so, after considering the same by assigning the reasons, the payment of interest has been denied. The judgment and order passed in the writ petition has been complied with as all other retiral dues as per the admitted case of the applicant have already been paid and the direction for considering the grant of interest has been considered and rejected the same and there is no positive direction for grant of interest on retiral dues by the writ Court.

Impleadment application is hereby rejected as the judgment and order has already been complied with.

In view of the facts, circumstances and discussion made hereinabove, the present contempt application has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

December 16, 2025
Nitesh

(Manish Kumar,J.)