



2025:DHC:10252-DB



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**+ **W.P.(C) 9358/2023****GOPAL LAL KHATIK**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohd Faisal, Mr. Kasim
Khan and Mr. Aman Mirza, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain,
CGSC with Mr. Nipun Jain and Mr. Navneet
Saharan, Advs.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA****JUDGMENT (ORAL)**

%

18.11.2025**C. HARI SHANKAR, J.**

1. This is a case in which there are concurrent findings of DME¹ and RME² to the effect that petitioner's haemoglobin count is below the minimum haemoglobin count permissible for recruitment to the post of Assistant Commandant in the Central Armed Police Forces.

2. The petitioner's case appears to be that he is an outstanding performer in the Forces. That cannot, however, be a consideration which mitigates the effect of low haemoglobin count.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has also drawn our attention

¹ Detailed Medical Examination

² Review Medical Examination



2025:DHC:10252-DB



to Clauses 6(c) and (d) of the Guidelines for Review Medical Examination (RME) in Central Armed Police Forces & Assam Rifles.

4. Insofar as Clause 6(c) is concerned, there is complete compliance with the said clause, as the decision to disqualify the petitioner is based on blood reports which have identified his haemoglobin count.

5. As such, the requirement of proper investigation reports stands complied with.

6. Insofar as Clause (d) is concerned, the requirement of obtaining opinions of concerned specialists and super specialists is only in the case of doubt.

7. There is no doubt in this case. As such, this clause is inapplicable.

8. There is no merit in the petition, which is accordingly dismissed.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J.

NOVEMBER 18, 2025/dsn