



2025:UHC:8848

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

15TH OCTOBER, 2025

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1288 of 2024

Muzameel Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. Mohd. Safdar,
Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Sandeep Sharma,
Assistant Government
Advocate assisted by
Mrs. Rangoli Purohit,
Brief Holder.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

This Application has been filed by the applicant- Muzameel for anticipatory bail in Case Crime No.714 of 2024, registered at Police Station Gangnagar, District Haridwar under Section 351(3), 352, 75(1) and 78(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. According to the First Information Report, the applicant used to send messages to the daughter of the informant and follow her. He also took her photograph.

3. Heard Mr. Mohd. Safdar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Sandeep Sharma, learned Assistant Government Advocate for the respondent.

4. Mr. Mohd. Safdar, Advocate, submitted that



2025:UHC:8848

the said allegations are totally false. Applicant has not committed any act of sexual harassment. He has no criminal antecedents. He is a permanent resident of District Haridwar, therefore, there is no possibility of his absconding. Charge-sheet has already been filed, therefore, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence, and, applicant was granted interim bail on 31.12.2024, and, the conditions of interim bail have not been misused by him.

5. Mr. Sandeep Sharma, learned Assistant Government Advocate, has opposed the anticipatory bail application. However, he fairly conceded that the applicant has no criminal antecedents.

6. Personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is very precious fundamental right and it should be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

7. Having heard the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present Application, filed for anticipatory bail, is allowed and order dated 31.12.2024, granting interim bail to the applicant, is



made absolute. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant Muzameel, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on executing a personal bond of Rs. 30,000/-and two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) Applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and he shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment;
- (ii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case;
- (iii) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Prosecution Agency will be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

Dt:15.10.2025
Neha

NEHA
BISHT