



2025:UHC:11348

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions or Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPSS/ 2185/ 2025</u> <u>with</u> <u>WPSS/ 2187/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2188/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2189/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2190/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2191/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2192/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2193/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2194/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2195/ 2025</u> <u>WPSS/ 2200/ 2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u> Mr. Navnish Negi & Mr. Himanshu Aswal, Advocates for the petitioners. 2. Mr. Narayan Dutt, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent nos. 1 to 3. 3. Since common questions of fact and law are involved in these petitions, therefore, they are clubbed together and are being heard & decided by a common judgment. However, for the sake of brevity, facts of Writ Petition (S/S) No. 2185 of 2025 alone are being considered and discussed. 4. Petitioners in these writ petitions were initially given ad-hoc appointment as teacher in different Government Aided Institutions in the year 2009 and their



		services were subsequently regularised on 17.11.2015. 5. According to the petitioners, the services rendered by them in ad-hoc capacity is liable to be counted for selection grade and other benefits in terms of Government Order dated 12.07.2002. Since, the benefit claimed by the petitioners is not being given to them, therefore, they have approached this Court seeking the following relief:- <i>“(a) Issue a writ order direction in the nature of mandamus directing the official Respondent no 2 To grant the Selection Grade to the Petitioner in the light of Government Order No. 655/Madhyamik/2002 Dated 12/7/2002 reckoning the Period of Satisfactory Services from their Respective date of Adhoc Appointment.”</i> 6. Learned counsel for the petitioners rely upon a Division Bench Judgment, rendered in Special Appeal No. 223 of 2022. 7. Learned State Counsel, however, submits that the said judgment is distinguishable on facts, as it deals with Government teachers and not teachers of Government Aided Institutions. He refers to a Government Order dated 6.9.2019, which provides that services rendered as <i>shiksha bandhu</i>, ad-hoc or
--	--	--



		daily wage basis or part time basis, shall not be taken into account for grant of selection grade. Learned State counsel further submits that petitioners have sought a writ of mandamus without making a formal demand before the authorities. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners be permitted to make fresh separate representation(s) and the competent authority be asked to take decision thereupon. 9. The writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of with liberty to petitioners to make fresh separate representation(s) to the competent authority, within three weeks from today. If petitioners make such representation(s) within the stipulated time, the same shall be decided, as per law, within six months thereafter. (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 18.12.2025 Navin NAVEEN CHANDRA Digitally signed by Navin NAVEEN CHANDRA DN: cn=Navin NAVEEN CHANDRA, o=UHC, ou=UHC, email=navin.naveen.chandra@uhs.ksarsn.nic.in, c=IN Date: 2025.12.18 16:25:11 +05'30'
--	--	---