



2025:UHC:9696

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

03RD NOVEMBER, 2025

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1196 of 2025

Ronak Ali Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. Naveen Singh
Bisht, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Pratiroop Pandey,
Assistant Government
Advocate.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

This Application has been filed for anticipatory bail in Case Crime No.136 of 2016, registered at Police Station Basant Vihar, District Dehradun under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. The learned IVth Additional Sessions Judge, Dehradun has rejected the anticipatory bail application of the applicant on 30.01.2023 on the ground that at the behest of the applicant, co-accused Mehendi Raza sold the property in-question to one Ujair Ahmad.

3. Heard Mr. Naveen Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned Assistant Government Advocate for the



respondent.

4. Mr. Naveen Singh Bisht, Advocate, submitted that the applicant, aged about 67 years, has been falsely implicated in the present matter. He was not named in the First Information Report. He is not a convicted person. The co-accused Mehandi Raza has already been granted regular bail by the Sessions Court. Applicant is a permanent resident of District Dehradun, therefore, there is no possibility of his absconding. Charge-sheet has already been filed, therefore, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence, and, the applicant was granted interim bail on 31.10.2025.

5. Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned Assistant Government Advocate, has opposed the anticipatory bail application orally.

6. Personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is very precious fundamental right and it should be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

7. Having heard the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on



- (i) Applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and he shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment;
- (ii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case;
- (iii) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

NEHA
BISHT

3