



2025:UHC:8092-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI G. NARENDAR
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHASH UPADHYAY

11TH SEPTEMBER, 2025

WRIT PETITION (CRL) No. 1019 OF 2025

Smt. Rashmi Nautiyal Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others. Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner. : Mr. Chandra Shekhar Dalakoti,
learned counsel holding brief of Mr.
Ravindra S. Rawat, learned counsel.

Counsel for the respondents. : Mr. J.S. Virk, learned Deputy
Advocate General with Mr. R.K. Joshi,
learned Brief Holder for the State.

JUDGMENT : (per Sri G. Narendar, C.J.)

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner is
seeking the following reliefs:-

“i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature mandamus commanding and directing the respondents No. 1 & 2 to provide protection to the precious life, liberty and dignity of the petitioner and the respondent nos. 1 & 2 may also be directed to ensure that the respondent no. 3 either himself or through his associates may not cause any physical assault and injury upon the petitioner in any manner, otherwise the petitioner shall suffer irreparable loss and injury, which cannot be compensated in any manner.

ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature mandamus commanding and directing the respondent No. 1 & 2 to make ensure that the respondent No. 3 and his associates should not visit at the parental house of the petitioner.



2025:UHC:8092-DB

iii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature mandamus commanding and directing the respondent No. 1 & 2 to make ensure that the respondent No. 3 and his associates should not chase the petitioner anywhere.

iv) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature mandamus commanding and directing the respondent No. 1 and 2 to make ensure that the respondent No. 3 and his associates should not visit at the working place of the petitioner and to create any scene and obstruction in the working place of the petitioner.

v) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature mandamus commanding and directing the respondent No. 1 & 2 to make ensure that the respondent No. 3 should not make any communication in any mode within the petitioner and her relatives.

vi) Issue any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case, be passed in favour of petitioner.

v) Cost of the petition is awarded in favour of the petitioner."

2. The petitioner, who is the wife of respondent No. 3, is seeking protection from respondent No. 3 and in this regard she has made several complaints to the concerned police station.

3. The instant writ petition is disposed of by directing the second respondent to call the parties and counsel the third respondent to not to resort to any violence and to,



As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

SUBHASH UPADHYAY, J.

PRAVINDRA SINGH BATHOUR

Digitally signed by PRAVINDRA SINGH BATHOUR
 DN: cn=PRAVINDRA SINGH BATHOUR,
 o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL,
 c=HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL,
 2.5.4.20=1924949D31D71C4F9264D0C51796,
 2.5.4.20=1924949D31D71C4F9264D0C51796,
 0.9.17.1.6.2.5.5.4.61=20250916,
 postalCode=263001, st=UTTARANCHAL,
 serialNumber=1924949D31D71C4F9264D0C51796,
 #0.9.17.1.6.2.5.5.4.61=20250917172225045048L,
 cn=PRAVINDRA SINGH BATHOUR
 Date: 2025.09.15 17:22:50 +05'30'