



2025:UHC:6285

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR VERMA

18th JULY, 2025

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.539 OF 2025

Parikshit Parse Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand Respondent

Counsel for the Applicant : Mr. S.R.S. Gill, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Pradeep Lohani, Brief Holder.

Hon'ble Alok Kumar Verma,J.

The present Application has been filed by the applicant-Parikshit Parse seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No.9 of 2025, registered at Police Station Srinagar, District Pauri Garhwal under Section 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. Heard Mr. S.R.S. Gill, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Pradeep Lohani, learned Brief Holder for the respondent.

3. Mr. S.R.S. Gill, Advocate, contended that the applicant is not named in the First Information Report. His name has come to light in the statements of the co-accused Jitendra Niranjana and Dinesh. Applicant, aged about 53 years, has been falsely implicated by them. Applicant was only service provider to Lucc Society. He



2025:UHC:6285

is a permanent resident of District Indore (Madhya Pradesh), therefore, there is no possibility of his absconding. He is not a convicted person. Charge-sheet has already been filed, therefore, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. He was granted interim bail on 23.05.2025, and, the conditions of the interim bail have not been violated by him.

4. Mr. Pradeep Lohani, Brief Holder, has opposed the anticipatory bail application orally. However, he submitted that the name of the applicant has come to light in the statements of Jitendra Niranjana and Dinesh. Apart from the statements of the co-accused, no other evidence is available against the applicant so far.

5. Personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is a very precious fundamental right and it should be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

6. Having heard the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present Anticipatory Bail Application is allowed and the order dated 23.05.2025, granting interim bail to the applicant, is made absolute.



2025:UHC:6285

It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant Parikshit Parse, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on executing a personal bond of Rs. 30,000/- and two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) Applicant shall attend the trial court regularly and he shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment;
- (ii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, acquainted with the facts of this case;
- (iii) Applicant shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the trial court.

7. It is made clear that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution agency will be free to move the Court for cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

ALOK KUMAR VERMA, J.

Date: 18.07.2025
Shiv/