



C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 18.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

1. SENTHILKUMAR
2. MANISANKAR
3. CHITRA
4. RAMASAMY

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. K.Balasubramani
2. Murugasen
3. Palaniyammal
4. V. Periyasamy
5. V.Sadaiyan
6. C. Balasubramani
7. M.Shanmugam
8. Packiyam
9. R. Mariyammal
10. R. Palaiyammal
11. The Sub Registrar
Gujiliyamparai Sub Registrar Office
Gujiliyamparai Taluk
Dindigul District.
12. The Village Administrative Officer
Village administrative office
R.Pudhukottai Vilage
Gujiliyamparai Taluk, Dindigul District.
13. The Thasildar
Gujiliyamparai Thasildar Office
Gujiliyamparai Taluk
Dindigul District.
14. The District Collector
District Collector Office
Velu Nachiyar Complex, Dindigul Town
Dindigul District.

... Respondents



C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of The Constitution of India to set aside the order dated 20.09.2025 to return the plaint in unnumbered O.S.No. SR 2215 of 2025 on the file of the learned Principal District Munsif Court, Vedasanthur and direct the learned Principal District Munsif Court, Vedasanthur to number the same by allowing this Civil Revision Petition and pass orders in accordance with law and thus render justice.

For Petitioner	: M/s.M.Vignesh Kumar
For Respondent Nos.11 to 14	: Mr.M.Siddharthan Addl., Govt., Pleader

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed seeking to set aside the order dated 20.09.2025 to return the plaint in unnumbered O.S.No. SR 2215 of 2025 on the file of the learned Principal District Munsif Court, Vedasanthur and direct the learned Principal District Munsif Court, Vedasanthur to number the same.

2. The rejection of the suit by the court below was based on the finding that the petitioners had impleaded the defendants without any pleadings or relief claimed against them. Without complying with the said return, the petitioners/plaintiffs have filed the present Civil Revision Petition challenging the return endorsed by the court below.



C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

3. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that it is for the petitioners to explain before the court below how the defendants are necessary parties to the suit. If such an endorsement is made, the court will be able to understand the necessity of impleading the defendants as necessary parties in the civil suit. Without doing so, the petitioners have filed the present Civil Revision Petition.

4. In view of the above, the present Civil Revision Petition is dismissed, with liberty to the petitioners to comply with the return made by the court below. If the petitioners comply with the return, the court below shall consider the same and proceed further in accordance with law.

18.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

gvn

Note :

Registry is directed to return the original records filed along with this Civil Revision Petition to the learned Counsel for the petitioners.



WEB COPY



C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

K.KUMARESH BABU,J.

gvn

To

1. The Principal District Munsif Court,
Vedasanthur

2. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

C.R.P(MD)No.3392 of 2025

18.11.2025