



CRL OP(MD). No.9321 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/07/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. VADAMALAI

CRL OP(MD). No.9321 of 2025

1.Muthupandi

2.Ramayee

Vs

: Petitioners/ A1 & A2

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Sub Inspector of Police,
A.Mukkulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.22 of 2025)

: Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners

: Mr.N.Ganesh, Advocate.

For Respondent

: Mr.S.Prakash,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.22 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD). No.9321 of 2025

respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 194 BNSS in Crime
WEB COPY

No.22 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is not in dispute that the first petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the second petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased.

3.The case of the prosecution is that the marriage between the deceased and A1 was held on 21.01.2024 and at that time of marriage, the parents of the deceased gave 11 sovereigns of gold jewels out of 30 jewels and other sridhanas and further the petitioners demanded 19 sovereigns of gold jewels and tortured her and due to the torture of the petitioners the deceased committed suicide. Hence, the complaint.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that there was no dowry harassment and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police has filed a status report stating that the investigation is in progress and the second petitioner/ A2 was already arrested and in judicial custody. He would further submit that there existed family dispute between the first accused and the deceased and hence, the petitioners said to have tortured the deceased and unable to tolerate the same, the deceased committed suicide. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.9321 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In view of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (criminal Side), this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as infructuous in respect of the second petitioner/ A2.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the nature and gravity of the offence the custodial interrogation of the first petitioner is necessary. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as against the first petitioner.

sd/-

04.07.2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

das

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruchulli.

2. To Through: The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruchulli.

3. The Inspector of Police,
A.Mukkulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.



CRL OP(MD). No.9321 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9321 of 2025

Date : 04/07/2025

KM (24.07.2025)/4P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17.07.2023.