



Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

Contempt Petition No.3723 of 2024

B. Sagadevan

Petitioner

vs.

1. Tmt. P. Subha Nandhini
District Revenue Officer
Chingleput District
Chingleput 603 002

2. Thiru. Durairaj
Tahsildar
Madurandagam Taluk
Madurandagam 603 306

Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, seeking to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of order dated 07.06.2024 passed in W.P.No.12115 of 2024.

For petitioner Mr. A. Lakshmi Narasimhan

For respondents Mr. T.K. Saravanan
Additional Government Pleader

- - - - -



Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024

ORDER

[made by M. SUNDAR, J.)

WEB COPY

Read this in conjunction with and in continuation of earlier proceedings made in the previous listings.

2. Today, Ms. P. Subha Nandhini, now serving as Joint Commissioner (Land Administration), Ezhilagam, Chennai and Mr.T.Durairajan, now serving as Special Tahsildar (LA), Soorai SIPCOT, Madurandagam, are present in Court.

3. Contempt Petitioner is present in Court and Mr. A. Lakshmi Narasimhan, learned counsel for contempt petitioner is before us. On behalf of the respondents, Mr. T.K. Saravanan, learned Additional Government Pleader, is present before us.

4. There is no disputation that the jurisdictional Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO) has since passed an order dated 13.03.2025 bearing reference ந.க. எண். 05/2025/சீ and removal of encroachment has been done.

Page Nos.2/6



Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024

5. Therefore, we record the stated position, discharge the respondents from contempt and give a closure to the captioned contempt petition insofar as respondents are concerned. In other words, the two respondents, viz., Ms. P. Subha Nandhini and Mr.T.Durairajan, stand purged of contempt but in the light of representations made by the contempt petitioner, we shall be requisitioning reports by way of post scripts.

6. Captioned contempt petition stands disposed of as closed in the aforesaid manner. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S., J.) (K.G.T., J.)
04.04.2025

cad
Index : Yes/No
NC : Yes/No


Upload forthwith



Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024


We requisition a report from the Inspector of Police (SHO), D-4 Padalam Police Station, Madurandagam Taluk, Madurandagam, Chingleput District, regarding a complaint dated 27.03.2025 said to have been given contempt petitioner's spouse Ms. Saraswathi. It is submitted in the complaint that the Sub Inspector of Police, D-4 Padalam Police Station, refused to receive the complaint and directed the same to be given to Tahsildar. A scanned reproduction of the complaint, as placed before us, is as follows:

[illegible]



S. Saravathi

- <https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024

M.SUNDAR, J.

and

K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

cad

Note to Registry:

Though the captioned contempt petition
is closed, list under the cause list caption
'FOR REPORTS' on 08.04.2025
(Tuesday).

Cont. Petn. No.3723 of 2024

04.04.2025

Page Nos.6/6