



CRP No. 904 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-07-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

CRP No. 904 of 2025

AND

MP NO. 5282 OF 2025

1. TAMILSELVI

W/o.Late Rangasamy, Residing at
No.106, Navakadu Village Kaspā,
Kunnathur via., Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur
Dist.

2. Birundha Devi

D/o.Late Rangasamy, Residing at
No.106, Navakadu Village Kaspā,
Kunnathur via., Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur
Dist.

3. Gowri Shankar

S/o.Late Rangasamy, Residing at
No.106, Navakadu Village Kaspā,
Kunnathur via., Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur
Dist.

Petitioner(s)

Vs



1. RATHAMANI

W/o.Somasundaram, No.174
Vadukapalayam, Velliraveli Village,
Kunnathur Via, Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur
Dist.

2.Kalaiselvi

W/o.kandasamy, No.158
Semmankuzhimeadu, Pudukadu
Thottam, Navakadu Thottam,
Navakadu Vilage, Kunnathur Via.,
Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur Dist.

3.Selvalakshmi

W/o.sampathkumar, Door No.1/50
Kumaragoundanpalayam, Kuruchi
Village, Kunnathur Via, Uthukuli Tk.,
Tiruppur Dist.

4.The Tahsildar

Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur Dist.


5.The Dist.Collector

District Collector Office, Tiruppur Dist.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying to set aside the fair and decretal order dated 20-01-2025 made in IA.No.110/2024 in OS.No.66/2020 on the file of the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthukuli by allowing this CRP.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 904 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): M.Guruprasad

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Arunkumar for RR1 to 3

ORDER

The revision petition is at that instance of the defendants, challenging the leave granted to the plaintiff to receive additional documents.

2.Heard Mr.M.Guruprasad, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.c.Arunkumar, learned counsel for the respondents to 3.

3.The trial court allowed the application, permitting the documents to be received as additional documents under Order VII Rule 14 of the CPC.

4.Mr.M.Guruprasad, learned counsel for the petitioner, invited my attention to the documents that are sought to be now introduced. Most of the documents are photographs, and admittedly there are no negatives, enclosed along with the photographs; therefore, the said photographs are inadmissible in evidence. He also particularly points out to the fact that the photographs are around the year 1989 and are all much prior to the digitalisation of cameras, and therefore, unless the negatives are accompanying the photographs, the



photographs are inadmissible.

WEB COPY

5. Be that as it may, considering that the trial court has only permitted the receipt of the documents, the stage for objecting to the admissibility of the documents has not arisen, and therefore, it is always open to the revision petitioner to object to the marking of the documents.

6. The trial court shall permit the documents that are now received pursuant to [I.A.No.110/2024](#) to be marked only subject to them being admissible in evidence and not otherwise.

7. The Civil Revision Petition is **dismissed** with the above observations. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

28-07-2025


jrs

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CRP No. 904 of 2025



To

1.The Judge,

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthukuli

2.The Tahsildar

Uthukuli Tk., Tiruppur Dist.

3.The Dist.Collector

District Collector office, Tiruppur Dist.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 904 of 2025



P.B.BALAJI J.

**CRP No. 904/2025
And CMP NO. 5282 OF
2025**

28-07-2025