



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 34756 OF 2019 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

P NARAYANASWAMY
S/O PILLERAPPA
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
NO.97, 1ST MAIN, 10TH CROSS
HOSA THIPPESANDRA POST
JAGDISHNAGAR, BANGALORE-560075

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SUNDARESH H C., ADVOCATE)

AND:

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KARNATAKA HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD.,
PRIYADARSHINI NEKAR BHAVAN
1ST FLOOR, OPP K H PATIL COLLEGE
NEKAR COLONY, HUBLI 580031
REGD OFFICE NO.1, TANK ROAD
NEXT TO MEG CENTRE
PRIYADARSHINI COMMERCIAL COMPLEX
HALASOOR, BANGALORE-560042

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. NAGARAJ D., ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT TO CONSIDER THE CONSISTENT REPRESENTATIONS MADE DURING THE WORKING IN KHDC AND MADE AFTER THE RETIREMENT VIDE ANNEX-E, F, G, AND K

Digitally signed by
HEMALATHA A
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



AND THE LEGAL NOTICE DATED 26.06.2019 VIDE ANNEXURE-N BY ISSUE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

This writ petition is filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following reliefs:

"(a) Direct the respondent to consider the consistent representations made during the working in KHDC and made after the retirement vide Annexures E, F, G and K and the legal notice dated 26.06.2019 vide Annexure-N by issue of a writ in the nature of mandamus.

(b) Consequentially direct the respondent to pay the salary to the petitioner as per the pay scale mentioned in Annexure-L and M from 15.07.2013 till date of his retirement with all benefits."

2. The petitioner was appointed as a Helper in the respondent-Corporation. As per the seniority list dated 22.04.2013 (Annexure-B), prepared by the Corporation for the cadre of Helper, the petitioner was placed at Sl. No.



21. By order dated 15.07.2013 (Annexure-D), the Corporation granted promotion to nine Helpers, some of them were juniors to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner submitted three representations dated 30.08.2013, 27.12.2013, and 05.05.2014 (Annexures E, F, and G, respectively), requesting that his case for promotion to be considered from the date on which his juniors were promoted.

3. Thereafter, the petitioner retired from service upon attaining the age of superannuation on 31.05.2018. Subsequently, the petitioner submitted another representation dated 09.05.2019 (Annexure-K), seeking notional promotion and monetary benefits. Since the representation at Annexure-K has not been considered by the respondent, the petitioner has approached this Court.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that, if this Court grants a reasonable time, the



representation submitted by the petitioner at Annexure-K will be considered in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the respondent is directed to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner at Annexure-K, dated 09.05.2019, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, and in any event not later than three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD)
JUDGE

CM
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 46