

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

**WP (C) No. 3481/2025**

*Pronounced on : 09.12.2025*

*Uploaded on : 10.12.2025*

**1. Pawan Kumar, Aged 51 years,  
S/o Puran Chand,  
R/o Village Mandrian Tehsil Aknoor,  
District Jammu.**

.....Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

**2. Akhil Sharma, Aged 23 years,  
S/o Bhagwan Dass,  
R/o Village Mandrian Tehsil Aknoor,  
District Jammu.**

**3. Babbu Kumar, Aged 35 years,  
S/o Pritam Sharma,  
R/o Village Mandrian Tehsil Aknoor,  
District Jammu.**

**4. Tarsem Lal, Aged 52 years,  
S/o Puran Chand,  
R/o Village Mandrian Tehsil Aknoor,  
District Jammu.**

Through :- Mr. Sumir Pandita, Advocate

v/s

**1) UT of J & K through  
Commissioner/Secretary to Govt.,  
Housing & Urban Development Department,  
Civil Secretariat, Jammu/Srinagar.**

.....Respondent(s)

**2) Vice Chairman, J D A,  
Rail Head Complex, Jammu.**

**3) Secretary, J D A,  
Rail Head Complex, Jammu.**

Through :- Mr. Atul Verma, Advocate vice  
Mr. Adarsh Sharma, Advocate

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE**

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**09.12.2025**

- 1.** The petitioners, through the medium of present writ petition, has sought the following reliefs:

- i. To command the respondents to issue the orders of allotment of the shops in favour of the petitioners and also fix the monthly rent of the same on the analogy of similarly situated and circumstanced persons in whose favour the orders of allotment and monthly rent have been issued by the respondents.*
- ii. To command the respondents not to dislodge/dispossess the petitioners from the possession of their respective shops at Ravi Tawi Canal Rail Head Complex Jammu till the matter is finally adjudicated upon.*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners would feel satisfied if the representations (Annexure-II to the writ petition), stated to have been made by the petitioners before the Vice Chairman, Jammu Development Authority, Rail Head Complex, Jammu, is considered and decided by the said authority in light of the judgments passed by this Court in the cases of similarly situated persons, within a specified time.

3. Mr. Atul Verma, learned counsel appearing vice Mr. Adarsh Sharma, on behalf of the respondents/JDA is not averse to the proposition made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Accordingly, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, **this writ petition is disposed of**, at its threshold, with a direction to Respondent No. 2 & 3 to consider and decide the representations (Annexure-II to the writ petition), stated to have been filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law, keeping in view the treatment given to similarly situated and circumstanced persons. The representations shall be decided by the respondents No. 2 & 3

within a period of three months from today and till such time the representations are decided, the present status of the petitioners shall not be disturbed.

(Moksha Khajuria Kazmi)  
Judge

JAMMU  
09.12.2025  
Manan

Whether the order is speaking : Yes  
Whether the order is reportable : No

