

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case: CCP(S) No.165/2024 in
WP(C) No.2963/2023

Innovatiview India Pvt. Ltd.

..... Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Sanjeev Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Sumant Sudan, Advocate

Vs

R. K. Goyal and another

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
04.08.2025

1. The instant contempt petition arises out of the order/judgment dated 29.11.2023 passed in WP(C) No.2963/2023, whereby, the writ petition preferred by the petitioner was disposed of at the very threshold, with the direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner as projected in the writ petition and were directed to take a decision thereon within a period of two months from the date the certified copy of the order was made available to the respondents, subject to verification of the requisite bills under rules. The decision which was likely to be taken by the respondents was directed to be conveyed to the petitioner. Since, the aforesaid order was not complied with within the time granted by the Court, the petitioner was constrained to prefer the instant contempt petition in which notice was issued on 20.05.2024 and the respondents have not filed the statement of facts till date.

2. Today, when the instant contempt petition was taken up out of turn at the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Raman Sharma, learned AAG has apprised this Court that pursuant to the order passed by this Court mentioned

(supra) some part payment has already been released in favor of the petitioner which has also been acknowledged. However, it has been brought to notice of the Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the entire liability has not been paid to the petitioner. Since, the direction passed by the learned writ Court was specific to the extent of according consideration to the case of the petitioner with regard to the claim projected before the writ Court, which till date has not been done.

3. In the aforesaid backdrop, no fruitful purposes will be served to keep this contempt petition alive and accordingly, this Court in the aforesaid backdrop deems it proper to dispose of the instant contempt petition by directing the respondents to comply with the order/judgment passed by this Court in its letter and spirit preferably within a period of two weeks from today. The consideration order which is likely to be passed may be forwarded to the petitioner through registered post.

4. It is made clear that in case if the order is not complied within the aforesaid period, the petitioner will be at liberty to revive the present contempt petition, and in that eventuality this Court will be constrained to take coercive measures against the respondents.

5. Accordingly, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are **closed**. Rule, if any framed, shall stand discharged.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
04.08.2025
Shammi