



Serial No. 01
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WA No. 62 of 2025

Date of Decision: 07.10.2025

Sri. Zilur Rahman,
S/o: (L) Umed Ali,
R/o: Banggalkatta Village,
P.O: Chibinang, P.S: Phulbari
District: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.

.....Appellant

-Versus-

1. Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura, represented by the Secretary to the GHADC, Tura.
2. The Chief Executive Member, Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura,
3. The Assistant Settlement Officer,
I/C Land Records Branch, Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura,
4. The Revenue Officer,
I/C Land Records, Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura.
5. Shri Moynal Hoque, S/o: Abbas Ali, R/o: Kaimbatapara, P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari, Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.
6. Shri. Bodiswar Rabha, S/o: Boshiram Rabha, R/o: Kaimbatapara, P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari, Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.
7. Shri. Brijo Rabha, R/o: Kaimbatapara, P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari, Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.

.....Respondent



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. A.H. Hazarika, Adv

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Dey, Adv.
Mr. S. Deb, Adv.

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication in press: | Yes/No |
-

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

Heard Mr. A.H. Hazarika, learned counsel for the appellant. The appellant being aggrieved by the order dated 27. 08. 2025 passed by the learned single judge of this Court in WP(C) No. 87 of 2025 has now approached this Court with a prayer to set aside and quash the said impugned order.

To understand the case of the parties, what is apparent is that the appellant as petitioner being aggrieved by the relevant authorities who has failed to carry out demarcation of his land as such, he has approached this Court for direction that the said demarcation be carried out.

From the impugned order what is also apparent is that the learned



single judge has noticed that in course of the proceedings the authorities have carried out the demarcation of the land of the petitioner and has filed the report thereto.

Under such circumstances, it is the considered view of the learned single judge that the case of the petitioner no longer survives and the matter was disposed of accordingly.

We are made to understand that the appellant has approached this Court primarily being aggrieved by the contents of the said report filed before this Court. However, we are also of the view that as far as the initial prayer of the appellant is concerned, the same has been duly answered vide the impugned judgment and as such we find no merits in this appeal, the same is hereby dismissed. It is however, left open to the appellant to pursue his own course of action with the relevant authorities.

The appeal is disposed of. No costs.

(B. Bhattacharjee)
Judge

(W. Diengdoh)
Judge

Meghalaya
07.10.2025
"Khrw"