



Serial No. 02
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 278 of 2025

Date of Decision: 08.07.2025

Smti Ibayatipshai Gyndiang,
D/o (L) Bomber Singh Hynniewta,
R/o 3rd Mile Road, Near Kyrdon Secondary School,
Lumpdeng, Block II, 3½ Mile Upper Shillong-793005,
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.

.... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Meghalaya represented by the
Chief Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,
Shillong.
2. The Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,
Power Department, Shillong.
3. The Meghalaya Energy Corporation Limited, Shillong
Represented by the Chairman-cum-Managing Director.
4. The Meghalaya Power Distribution Corporation Limited, Shillong
Represented by the Chairman-cum-Managing Director.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Ri-Bhoi District Circle, Umiam,
Meghalaya Power Distribution Corporation Limited
6. The Executive Engineer, Umiam District Division, Umiam
Meghalaya Power Distribution Corporation Limited
7. The Assistant Executive Engineer, Umiam District Division,
Umiam, Meghalaya Power Distribution Corporation Limited

..... Respondent(s)



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. Pahsyntiew, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. S.K.H. Nongrum, Adv. (For R 1&2)
Mr. A.S. Pandey, Adv. with
Ms. S. Laloo, Adv. (For R 3-7)

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |
-

JDUGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. R. Pahsyntiew, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Issue notice.
3. Ms. S.K.H. Nongrum, learned counsel is present and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents Nos. 1 & 2. Mr. A.S. Pandey, learned counsel is present on behalf of the respondents Nos. 3 to 7, so no further notice is called for.
4. The writ petitioner is before this Court being aggrieved with the non-grant of fresh electricity connection to her premises situated at Umbang Sumer Ri-Bhoi District. It has been submitted that in spite of a representation dated 15.11.2024, which is annexed as



Annexure-8 to the writ petition, the respondents have not given any consideration to the same, and as such the petitioner's concern is without electricity since 26.07.2002.

5. Mr. R. Pahsyntiew, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage it would suffice if the respondents Nos. 3 to 7, are directed to dispose of the representation, within a time frame.

6. Mr. A.S. Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents Nos. 3 to 7, at the outset has submitted that the representation which has been preferred by the writ petitioner shall be taken up for consideration. He prays that he may be allowed 6(six) weeks' time to consider and dispose of the same.

7. In consideration of the submissions made by the parties, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the representation dated 15.11.2024, which is annexed as Annexure-8 to the writ petition be looked into by the respondents and the same be disposed within a period of 6(six) weeks, from the date of this order.

8. With the above noted directions, this matter stands closed and is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
08.07.2025
"V. Lyndem-PS"