

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA

_A_G_A_R_T_A_L_A_

WP(C)No.262 of 2025

Smti. Parul Sarkar

.....Petitioner

_V_E_R_S_U_S_

The Chancellor, Tripura University and 2 Others

.....Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. A. K. Pal, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S. Lodh, Advocate.
Judgment and order dated	:	19.05.2025
Whether fit for reporting	:	No.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

_F_I_N_A_L_O_R_D_E_R_

Heard.

[2] The present petition has been filed under Article-226 of the Constitution of India for seeking direction against the respondents to release the death benefits of deceased mother of the petitioner like Leave Salary, Gratuity, Group Insurance, Death Benefits etc. to the legal heirs of the deceased who died during her service period under the respondents.

[3] The petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

- i. Admit the writ petition of the petitioner.
- ii. Issue notice upon the respondents.
- iii. Call for the relevant records from the custody of the respondents.
- iv. After hearing the parties, Your Lordship would be kind enough to direct the respondents to release the death benefits of deceased mother of the petitioner like Leave Salary, Gratuity, Group Insurance, Death Benefits etc. equally to the legal heirs of the deceased for fair ends of justice."

[4] The facts in brief are that the petitioner is the daughter of the deceased Rina Sarkar who had been serving under the respondents since 1999 and during her service period, she suddenly died on 06.11.2015 and after the death of deceased employee Rina Sarkar, the petitioner on several times approached to the respondents for providing a job under die-in-harness scheme along with release the death benefits of deceased mother of the petitioner. But the respondents did not provide any job under die-in-harness scheme to the petitioner and not release the death benefits of the deceased mother and the petitioner on 19.12.2019 and 24.02.2023 submitted applications to the respondents in this regards, but the

respondents did not replied or released the death benefits of the deceased mother of petitioner. Finding no other alternatives, the petitioner through her engaged counsel on 03.02.2024 served a demand notice to the respondents for releasing the death benefits. But after lapsed of few times, the respondents did not send any reply nor release the death benefits of deceased mother of the petitioner.

[5] Hence, the present petition has been preferred by the petitioner before this Court for redress.

[6] It is seen from the record that the petitioner has continued his case for claiming only monitory benefits. But there is no proof of application is placed on record. In view of above and having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parities, this Court is of the opinion that the present petition devoid of any merits and needs to be dismissed giving liberty to the petitioner to approach before the concerned respondents and also to file appropriate application seeking reliefs.

[7] In view of above observation, the present petition stands dismissed. As a sequel, miscellaneous application, pending if any, shall stand closed.

T. AMARNATH GOUD, J

A. Ghosh

ANJAN GHOSH
Digitally signed by ANJAN GHOSH
Date: 2025.05.23 17:13:21 +05'30'