

OINAM
THOIBA
A
MEITEI

Digitally
signed by
OINAM
THOIBA
MEITEI
Date:
2025.08.07
16:55:35
+05'30'

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

**W.P. (C) No. 594 of 2025 with
MC(W.P. (C)) No. 558 of 2025**

Educated Unemployed Youths Committee

... *Petitioner*

- *Versus* -

State of Manipur and 5 Ors.

... *Respondents*

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

ORDER

07.08.2025

[1] Heard Mr. N. Jotendro, learned sr. counsel assisted by Mr. A. Manikanta Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Lenin Hijam, learned A.G. assisted by Mr. A. Bheigya, learned jr. G.A. for the State respondents.

[2] The petitioner approached this Court with the direction that Deputy Commissioner, Imphal East and his subordinate officers be prevented from evicting the petitioner from the piece of land/shop site plots measuring an area of 242 ft. x 13 ft. (0.072 acre) out of 0.218 acre covered by C.S. Dag No. 4435 of Village No. 38 (A) Palace Compound, recorded in the name of the Secretary, Educated Unemployed Youth's Committee, Palace compound, Imphal East District which falls within the Stretch mentioned at Sl. No. 9 "Konung Mamang Traffic Island Via Andro Parking to Ningthem Pukhri" of the Eviction Schedule submitted by the SDO, Porompat to the Deputy Commissioner, Imphal East District, Manipur as the said land is a private property as mentioned in the Manipur Merger Agreement, 1949 and also affirmed by the Judgment and Order dated 20.2.1995 passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court, Imphal Bench in Civil Rule No. 44 of 1984 and also there is a Revenue Revision Case being Revenue Revision Case No. 34 of 2025 pending before the Ld. Revenue Tribunal, Manipur

at Imphal, as they are the owner of the present land in terms of the gift deed executed by the legal heir of the late Maharajah of Manipur.

[3] At this stage, the learned AG submits that the process of demarcation is going on to find out how much land is encroached upon the Govt. land and no eviction drive is carried out at present without verifying the area encroached in Govt. land.

[4] It is submitted that the writ petition is premature, as there is no specific order to the petitioner for eviction and demolition in the land occupied by them and the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to approach when the need arises.

[5] The learned sr. counsel for the petitioner submits that writ petition may be disposed of with the observations that the petitioner shall not be evicted and no structure be demolished from their own land.

[6] In the ongoing demarcation proceeding, the learned sr. counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner shall cooperate with the authority in the demarcation proceeding, if any notice is issued to them.

[7] With these observations, the present W.P. (C) No. 594 of 2025 with MC(W.P. (C)) No. 558 of 2025 are disposed of. Petitioner's possession and structures within their own land are protected.

[8] Furnish a copy of this order to the learned counsel appearing for the parties in the course of the day through whatsapp or email.

JUDGE