

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

MC(CRL. A.) No. 27 of 2025

Soibam Dhanabir Singh

... Applicant

- Versus -

State of Manipur

... Respondent

B E F O R E

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. K. SOMASHEKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

O R D E R

[K. Somashekar, CJ]

24.07.2025

[1] Learned counsel for the applicant, Mr. S. Rajeetchandra is appearing through video conferencing. Whereas, learned PP for the respondent/State, Mr. RK Umakanta, is present before this Court physically.

[2] The present miscellaneous case proceeding initiated by the applicant is relating to the case in MC(CRL.A.) No. 27 of 2025 filed under Section 430 read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 whereby seeking for extension of interim bail which has been granted to the applicant/appellant.

[3] Heard the learned counsel for the appellant/applicant and also learned Public Prosecutor for the State and also perused the interim bail order dated 15.05.2024 relating to the proceeding in MC(CRIL. A.) No. 37 of 2024 for a period of 8 (eight) weeks from the date of his release, the accused person was released from judicial custody on 20.05.2025.

[4] Whereas, the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant in this matter is seeking for extension of bail order which has already been granted based on the materials available on record and inclusive of the grounds which have been mentioned herein.

[5] It is further contended and also referred to the contents in Annexure – A/1 i.e. copy of Boarding Pass dated 28.05.2025, inclusive of Annexure – A/2 i.e. copy of the interim bail compliance letter dated 29.05.2025 addressed to the Station House Officer, Dispur Police Station and also referring to the documents Annexures – A/3 & A/4 relating to photo copies of medical treatment dated 29.05.2025.

[6] These documents have been produced by the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant seeking for extension of interim bail which has already been granted. Lastly, the learned counsel is submitting that the applicant/appellant and even though the convicted under Section 302 of IPC, 1860 wherein, the learned counsel in this matter is submitting that the applicant/appellant is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court for extension of the interim bail which has already been granted. On this premises is also, the applicant/appellant is seeking for consideration of the application filed under Section 430 read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023.

[7] Whereas, the learned counsel in this matter specifically is submitting that after expiry of the 8 (eight) weeks, the applicant/appellant surrendered before the jail authority in time by obeying the order of this Court. However, the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant in this matter is seeking for consideration of the documents inclusive of medical report; but the submission made by the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant seeking for extension of the interim bail which has

already been granted in the aforesaid appeal matter whereby, convicted accused for offence under Section 302 of IPC, 1860, it does not have any substance.

[8] On contrary, learned PP for the respondent/State in this matter is submitting that the applicant/appellant filed a case in the proceeding of S.T. No. 7 of 2017 and whereby the trial was arrived at a conclusion rendering conviction of the accused for the offences under Section 302 of IPC based on evidences of PW No. 1 – 6 inclusive exhibited documents on the part of prosecution. All these evidence have been let in by the prosecution to prove the accused and thereafter, heard the arguments on the part of the prosecution and also on the part of defence and the Ld. Trial Court was arrived at a conclusion that the accused is deserving for conviction for offences under Section 302 of IPC, 1860.

[9] This submission is made by the learned PP for the respondent/State, but the proceeding in CRL. A. No. 25 of 2023 has been preferred by the applicant/appellant challenging the judgment of conviction and order of sentence rendered by the Ld. Trial Court and in the aforesaid proceeding handed in conviction for offences. Therefore, on the premises, the learned PP in this matter is submitting that there is no justifiable grounds found for seeking for extension of interim bail which have already been granted and therefore, seeking for dismissal of the present application has been filed by applicant/appellant under Section 430 read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023.

[10] Keeping in view of the contentious contents which have been taken by the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant and equally, the submission made by the learned PP for the respondent/State, it is deemed appropriate to refer the impugned judgment of conviction and order of

sentence under Section 302 of IPC and more so, the prosecution was let in the evidence to proven the suit against the accused. Subsequent to closing of the evidences that the Trial Court has appreciation of the evidences, keeping in view of the provision of Section 3 of the Indian Evidence Act relating to entrusting their case. Therefore, this bail application does not arise for consideration, the accused was convicted for serious offences under Section 302 of IPC. Therefore, this application is deserving for dismissal.

[11] Accordingly, this miscellaneous proceeding is hereby disposed of.

[12] The proceeding in CRL. A. No. 25 of 2023 would be listed on 25.08.2025.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Bipin