

Court No. - 2

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 11049 of 2024

Petitioner :- Imteyaz Hasan Beg

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Energy Lko And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anand Kumar Singh, Karuna Shankar Bajpai

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Aprajita Bansal, Neerav Chitravanshi

Hon'ble Rajan Roy, J.

Hon'ble Brij Raj Singh, J.

1. Heard.

2. The petitioner claims that the electricity connection was permanently disconnected on 12.08.1998, therefore, no electricity bill can be raised for the subsequent period as is being done.

3. We have perused the earlier order dated 27.01.2023 passed in Writ C No. 649 of 2023.

4. Submission is that the petitioner has approached the opposite party no. 3 but no decision has been taken as yet.

5. Ms. Aparajita Bansal, learned counsel for the Electricity Department says that if there were dues at the time of permanent disconnection then those dues had to be paid failing which surcharge would be imposed on the said dues. This is what appears to be the matter.

6. Be that as it may, the opposite party no. 3 should give it in writing to the petitioner as to what are the nature of dues being claimed from him and explain it to the petitioner as to how it is being claimed so that he may, if aggrieved, challenge it. Let the opposite party no. 3 decide the petitioner's application within three weeks.

7. The writ petition is ***disposed of***.

[Brij Raj Singh, J.] [Rajan Roy, J.]

Order Date :- 20.12.2024

Santosh/-