

Court No. - 19

Case :- MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 6158 of 2024

Petitioner :- Anubrat Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Resident Magistrate, Ayodhya And Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Bhanu Pratap Mishra, Avinash Kumar Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Manish Mathur, J.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned State counsel for opposite party No.1.

In view of order being passed, notice to opposite party No. 2 stands dispensed with.

Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the authority concerned for expeditious disposal of Suit No.03314/2021; Pankaj Singh and others vs. Nauraji filed for mutation under Section 34 of the U.P. Land Revenue Act, which is pending consideration since 12th March, 2021.

It appears from the order-sheet that opposite party No.2 has not yet put in appearance in the aforesaid proceedings despite two years having passed.

Mutation proceedings being summary in nature are required to be decided expeditiously.

In view of aforesaid facts, the opposite party No.1 i.e. Resident Magistrate, Ayodhya, District Ayodhya is directed to decide the mutation application expeditiously in case it feels that notice has been served upon the opposite party, who is deliberately not put in appearance in the matter.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the authority concerned in the matter in case there is inordinate delay in the proceedings.

Learned counsel for petitioner is granted liberty to correct the nomenclature of opposite party No.1 during course of the day.

With the aforesaid directions, petition stands **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 18.12.2024

Reena/-

(Manish Mathur, J.)