

Court No. - 29

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 2810 of 2024

Applicant :- Anil Jaiswal

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko.
And Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Nagendra Singh, Kuldeep

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Ajay Bhanot, J.

Heard Shri Kuldeep, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S. P. Tiwari, learned A.G.A. for the State.

The applicant is aggrieved by the excessive sureties fixed by the learned trial court while granting him bail. The learned trial court mechanically fixed the sureties without applying its mind to all relevant considerations including the socioeconomic status of the applicant as envisaged by this Court in **Arvind Singh v. State of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. (Application U/S 482 No.2613 of 2023)**.

The learned trial court is directed to fix the sureties after due application of mind in light of the judgement rendered by this Court in **Arvind Singh (supra) and Hani Nishad @ Mohammad Imran @ Vikky Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh** rendered by the Supreme Court in **SLP (Crl. No. 8914-8915/2018)**.

The learned trial court shall ensure that the right of bail of the applicant granted by this Court is not frustrated by arbitrary demands of sureties which are unrelated to

the socioeconomic status of the applicant.

The Application U/S 482 is disposed of.

Order Date :- 22.3.2024

Dhananjai