



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 16047/2024 & CM APPL. 67411/2024 (*for directions*)

LOK SAMAJ PARTY THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT Petitioner

Through: Mr. G.S. Sharma in person with Mr.
V.K. Sharma, Mr. R.A. Sharma, Mr.
Arvind Nagar

versus

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Apoorv Kurup, CGSC with Mr.
Shriram Tiwary, GP and Mr. Gurjar
Narula, Ms. Jaya Choudhary,
Advocates
S.I. Vijay Choudhary, P.S. Sonia
Vihar
S.I. Sachin, P.S. Khajuri Khas

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

20.11.2024

%

1. The Petitioner, Lok Samaj Party, has filed the instant petition seeking directions to the Respondents to not discriminate the Petitioner in the conduct of peaceful dharnas, pradarshan, pad-yatra, corner meetings etc.
2. The Petitioner had sent an earlier representation dated 03rd October, 2024 to Respondent No. 2, seeking permission and arrangement of security for the purpose of carrying out a protest march. However, the said request was declined through communication dated 19th October, 2024.
3. The Petitioner has now made another request *vide* communication dated 29th October, 2024 for carrying out a protest march (Pad-Yatra)



highlighting the issues of unemployment, privatisation, contract labour system and inflation. The said request is yet to be decided by the Respondents.

4. In the aforesaid background, the Petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court alleging that the rejection of their representations amounts to discrimination. They state that the requests of other parties to carry out peaceful protests have been allowed, as is evident from the newspaper reports annexed with the petition indicating that the workers of Bhartiya Janta Party had conducted a protest march on 22nd October, 2024.

5. Mr. Kurup, CGSC for the Respondents, denies the allegations of discrimination, and states that the requests made to the Respondents are evaluated and allowed on their own merits.

6. In the opinion of the Court, each request has to be examined and evaluated on its own merits. Therefore, without going into the allegations of discrimination, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to take a decision on the Petitioner's request dated 29th October, 2024 by 22nd November, 2024 in accordance with law.

7. The present petition is disposed of along with pending application.

8. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 20, 2024/ab