



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 13.02.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE R. KALAIMATHI

Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

Kadhar Moidheen

... Appellant / Sole Accused

Vs.

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station North,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.
Complainant

... Respondent /

Prayer: The Criminal Appeal filed under Section 374 (2) Cr.P.C., to set aside the Conviction and Sentence imposed in Spl.S.C.No.42 of 2017, dated 17.10.2018 on the file of the Magalir Neethimandrum (Fast track Court) Tiruppur.

For Appellant : Mr.S.Senthilvel

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

JUDGMENT

This Criminal Appeal is filed by the sole accused challenging the Judgment made in Spl.S.C.No.42 of 2017, dated 17.10.2018 on the file of the Magalir Neethimandrum (Fast Track Mahila Court) Tiruppur wherein the appellant / accused was found guilty for the offence under Sections 9(f) 9(l) and 9 (m) r/w. Section 10 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (in short POCSO Act, 2012) and was convicted and sentenced to undergo



Rigorous Imprisonment for 7 years along with fine of Rs.20,000/- for each offence and in default of payment of fine, the accused to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for 1 years for each offence and the sentences to run concurrently.

2. The case of the prosecution in a nutshell is given hereunder:

The Victims are two minors namely 'X' and 'Y' who are aged 12 years and 10 years respectively. The defacto complainant who is the mother of the victims sent the minor girls to the Mosque situated at Thirumuruganpoondi, Tiruppur to learn Arabic language. The accused Kadhar Moidheen was a Hajarath / Arabic Teacher in the said Mosque. The Arabic classes were being conducted from 6.30 a.m to 7.15 a.m at morning hours and from 6.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m in the evening time. On 01.05.2017, the minor girls 'X' and 'Y' went to Madharsha/ Mosque to learn Arabic and after the classes got over, when they were about to return home, the accused took the minor girls 'X' and 'Y' to the terrace of the Mosque, hugged both victims, kissed and pressed their breasts with his hands and asked minor girls 'X' and 'Y' to rub his male organ and forced them to place it in their mouth and sat between them and inserted his fingers into their vagina and thereby committed penetrative sexual assault on the victims 'X' and 'Y'. He committed the same sexual assault repeatedly till 13.05.2017.



WEB COPY

3. Upon the complaint (Ex.P1) given by the mother of the victims / defacto complainant on 07.07.2017 at about 15.00 hours, P.W.4, Ayyammal, the then Sub Inspector of Police who was in charge in the All Women Police Station North, Tiruppur, Tiruppur District, registered a case in Crime No.17/2017 (Ex.P7) under Sections 9 (f), (l), (m) r/w. Section 10 of POCSO Act, 2012. On receipt of the copy of First Information Report, P.W.14 Mohana Sundhari, the Inspector of Police took up the case for investigation on the same day. She went to the scene of occurrence/Mosque, at about 4.30 p.m., and prepared Observation Mahazar (Ex.P13) and Rough Sketch (Ex.P14) in the presence of witnesses P.W.13 Ashraf and one Noor Islam. P.W.14 examined the witnesses Aabitha Banu, Afshana Jerin, Fowzia, Ibrahim, Ramji, Sameem, Arif Mohamed, Aneez Nazeer, Abdul Rahman, Ashraf, Noor Islam by recording their statements under Section 161 of Cr.P.C., The minor victims 'X' and 'Y' were sent to the Tiruppur Government Hospital for medical examination with the Police Constable Manimegalai. On 13.07.2017, on surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Theni, the accused was sent to judicial custody.

4. On enquiry by the learned Mahila Judge, Tiruppur, the accused gave confession in the presence of P.W.9 Manoharan and one Mohammed Sabeer. Upon the requisition, the Judicial Magistrate, Avinashi, on 24.07.2017,



the Statements of the victims 'X' and 'Y' were recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C., (Ex.P15 series) and the accused was sent for medical examination. Dr.Prakash (P.W.10) and Dr.Pavithra (P.W.12) of Government Hospital, Tiruppur conducted the medical examination of the accused and the victims. P.W.14 recorded the statement of the Doctors, collected medical records and examined the constables who accompanied the victims for medical examination, thereby P.W.14 altered the charges from Section 9 (f), (l), (m) r/w. 10 of POCSOAct, 2012 to Section 5 (f), (l), (m) r/w. Section 6 (2 counts) of POCSOAct, 2012 and prepared Charge Alteration Report (Ex.P16). The final report was filed under Section 5 (f), (l), (m) r/w. 6 (2 counts) of POCSOAct, 2012.

5. On appearance of the accused, the copies of the case records relied on by the prosecution were furnished to the accused as per Section 207 of Cr.P.C.

6. Upon hearing the Public Prosecutor, charges were framed under Section 5 (f), (l), (m) r/w. Section 6 (2 counts) of POCSOAct, 2012. When, the said Charges were duly read and explained to the accused, he denied the same. Hence the trial was ordered. To substantiate the charges, the prosecution examined 14 witnesses and marked 16 Exhibits. No oral or



documentary evidence was let in on the side of the accused.

WEB COPY

7. Heard Mr.S.Senthilvel, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr.S.Udaya Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the Respondent.

8. Mr.S.Senthilvel, learned counsel for the appellant strenuously argued that the accused being a teacher shouted at the brother of the victims 'X' and 'Y' as he has not obeyed his commands. Hence, at the instance of the brother of the victims, through P.W.4, a false case has been foisted against the accused to wreak vengeance.

9. Mr.S.Udaya Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the Respondent vehemently contended that the victims 'X' and 'Y' who were aged 12 and 10 years respectively, were sent to the Mosque to learn Arabic language, their mother tongue. In the pious place, the accused who is supposed to act as a protector of the children, committed sexual assault upon the minor victims and the prosecution witnesses namely the minor victims 'X' and 'Y' and their family members, Doctors have clearly spoken about the offence committed by the accused. Thereby the charges have been proved beyond all reasonable doubts. Though the charges were



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

framed under Section 5 (f) (i), (m) r/w. 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and the accused was convicted under Sections 9(f) r/w. 10, 9(i) r/w. 10 and 9 (m) r/w. 10 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for 7 years along with fine of Rs.20,000/- for each offence and in default of payment of fine, the accused to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for 1 year for each offence and the above sentences are to run concurrently. It is a well reasoned order which needs no interference by this Court.

10. The victim minor girls 'X' and 'Y' have been examined as P.W.1 and P.W.2. P.W.3, Aneez is the head man of the Thirumuruganpoondi Mosque, Tiruppur, P.W.4 and P.W.5 are the parents and P.W.6 is the grandmother of the minor victims 'X' and 'Y'. P.W.7 Nazeer and P.W.8 Abdul Rahman are the witnesses who have spoken about P.W.3 reporting about the offence committed by the accused. P.W.9 Manoharan, is the confession statement witness. P.W.10 Dr. Prakash is the medical officer who examined the accused and issued medical certificates (Ex.P5 and Ex.P6). P.W.11 Ayyammal, is the then Sub Inspector of Police who registered First Information Report against the accused. P.W.12, Dr. Pavithra conducted medical examination on the victims and produced medical records Ex.P8 and Ex.P11. P.W.13 Ashraf is the Observation Mahazar witness. P.W.14 Mohana Sundhari, is the Inspector of Police



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

(Investigating Officer).

WEB COPY

11. P.W.1, victim 'X' has deposed as extracted hereunder:

“எதிரி காதர் மைதீனை எனக்குத் தெரியும். அவர் எங்கள் வீட்டிற்கு வந்து என்னையும், என் தம்பி ரஹ்மத்துல்லா, என் தங்கை 'Y' ஆகியோரை அரபி ஓதுவதற்காக அழைத்தார். அதனால் அரபி கற்பதற்காக நாங்கள் பூண்டியில் உள்ள மதர்ஸாவிற்கு போனோம். என்னுடன் 'Y'ம் அரபி கற்பதற்காக அங்கு வந்தார். மே மாதம் 1ம் தேதி 2017ம் வருடம் நாங்கள் அரபி கற்றுக் கொள்வதற்காக காலை 06.00 மணிக்கு போயிருந்தோம். அப்போது ஹஜரத் காதர் மைதீன் என்னையும் 'Y'ம் மொட்டை மாடிக்கு வரச்சொன்னார். எங்களை மோட்டாரில் தண்ணீர் வருகிறதா என்று பார்க்கச் சொன்னார். நான் எட்டிப் பார்த்துக் கொண்டிருந்தேன். எனக்கு எட்ட மாட்டிங்கிறது என்று என்னை தூக்கி விட்டார். அவர் என்னை கட்டிப்பிடித்தார். என்னை முத்தம் கொடுக்கச் சொன்னார். நான் தர மாட்டேன் என்று சொன்னேன். இன்னொரு நாள் என்னை பாத்ரூமிற்குள் கூட்டிப் போய் முத்தம் கேட்டார். நான் தர மாட்டேன் என்று



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

WEB COPY

கொன்னேன். என் யூரின் போகும் இடத்தில் கை வைத்தார். நான் வேண்டாம் என்று சொல்லி கையை தட்டிவிட்டேன். பின்பு மார்பகத்தில் கை வைத்து அழுத்தினார். மே மாதத்தில் நாங்கள் போன போது இப்படி நடந்தது. பாத்ரூமிற்கு கூட்டிப் போய் அவர் யூரின் போகும் இடத்தை தடவ சொன்னார். நான் பண்ண மாட்டேன் என்று சொன்னேன். ஒரு நாள் என்னையும் 'Y' யையும் மொட்டை மாடிக்கு வரச்சொல்லி எங்கள் இரண்டு பேரையும் தூக்கி நெருக்கி கட்டிப் பிடித்து எங்களுக்கு முத்தம் கொடுத்தார். ஒரு நாள் பாத்ரூமிற்குள் கூட்டிப் போன போது என் தம்பி இரஹமத்துல்லா பின்னாடி வந்து பார்த்து விட்டான். என் தம்பியிடம் ஹரத் நீ யார் கிட்டையும் சொல்லக் கூடாதுன்னு சொன்னார். என் தம்பி அம்மாவிடம் அக்காவை மதரசாவிற்கு அனுப்பாதீங்க, சொன்னான். அம்மா என்னை விசாரித்தார். அம்மா கேட்ட போது அம்மாவிடம் நான் நடந்ததை சொன்னேன். அம்மா என்னிடம் இனிமேல் மதரசாவிற்கு போக வேண்டாம் என்று சொன்னார். அதிலிருந்து நான் மதரசாவிற்கு போக வில்லை.”

12. The other victim 'Y' has deposed as under:



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

“எதிரி காதர் மைதீன் எங்கள் ஹஜரத். நான்

WEB COPY

அரபி படிப்பதற்காக பள்ளிவாசலுக்கு போனேன். நான் ஐந்தாம் வகுப்பு படிக்கும் போது அரபி படிப்பதற்காக பள்ளிவாசலுக்கு போனேன். நானும், என் தம்பி பைரோஸ் போனோம். அப்போது ஹஜரத் என்னையும், 'X' ஐயும் மொட்டை மாடிக்கு கூட்டிப் போய் கட்டிப் பிடித்து முத்தம் கொடுத்தார். அவர் குஞ்சாமணியை தடவ சொன்னார். பின்பு என்னுடைய ஒன்னுக்கு போகும் இடத்தில் தொட்டார். நான் இதைப் பற்றி என் அம்மாவிடம் சொன்னேன். அம்மா என்னை மதரசாவிற்கு இனிமேல் போக வேண்டாம் என்று சொன்னார். இதெல்லாம் வேறு வேறு நாளில் நடந்தது.

13. P.W.3 Aneez who is the Head man of Thirumurugan Poondi Mosque, Tiruppur has stated that upon the request of the grand mother of the victims, he enquired the accused.

14. It is relevant to note that though P.W.6 / grand mother of the victims asked about the incident, the minor victim did not tell anything against the accused. It appears that P.W.3 enquired the accused and the accused refuted all the allegations put forth against him. Therefore the evidence of P.W.3 would no way strengthen the case of the prosecution. The evidence of the parents also got significance in deciding the case. The victim



happens to be the minor girls. Their parent lodged the complaint. P.W.4. Aabitha Banu who is the mother of the minor victim girls (P.W.1 and P.W.2) deposed that her two minor daughters 'X' and 'Y' used to go to Madharsha at Thirumurugan poondi for learning Arabic, twice a day i.e., 6.00 a.m to 7.00 a.m in the morning and 5.00 p.m to 6 p.m in the evening. She knows the accused and he is working as a Hajrath in the Madharsha. In the year 2017, 5th month, her minor daughters did not return home from Madharshan by 7.00 p.m. She sent her son Rahamathullah to the Madharsha to pick up the victims. Her son came and told that the accused by keeping the victim inside, told that the victims did not attend the class. Her son Rahamathulla told her not to send his sisters to Madharsha and when she enquired his son, she came to know that the accused misbehaved with her daughters. She would further state that when she enquired her daughters with regard to the same, they told that they were taken to the terrace where he hugged them and made them to remove his pants and asked them to kiss the male organ by holding it and threatened them not to disclose the same to their mother. Thereafter, she went to Madharsha along with her brother in law Muhammed Aarif, Nazeerbai, Asaratbai and gave letter narrating the above said misdeeds of the accused. When the accused was enquired about the same by the Haji, the accused told that he did not commit any offence. Hence the Haji throw away the letter. Thereafter she went to the Police Station and on her narration, the complaint



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

was recorded by the Police and it was read over to her and she admitted the same and appended her signature in the complaint (Ex.P1).

15. P.W.5-Ramji who is the father of the victims, had deposed that a year ago, one day, his daughter did not go Madharsha: when he asked about it, she did not tell any thing. When his mother enquired about this, she told that she was not interested to go to Madharsha and after compelling her, she told that the accused misbehaved with her and he touched her chest and made her to touch his private male organ. He along with his minor children made a complaint to the head man of the Mosque and stopped sending his children to Mosque. He also came to know that the accused misbehaved with other children too.

16. It is the evidence of Sameem, Paternal Grandfather of the victims that an year ago, his granddaughter after returning from Madharsha, she was not found to be normal and when he enquired her, she told that the Arabic Teacher was not behaving in a proper manner and she further told him that she would not go to Madharsha. On enquiry, his granddaughter told him that the accused kissed the victims, touched the private part and threatened them not to disclose the same to her inmates of the house. It is the further evidence of P.W.5 that the victim told him not to ask the same to Hajarath and not to tell her father. However, they enquired the accused, and he told that nothing had happened as alleged. He told the head man that he would



not send his grandchildren to Madharsha any more. The head man did not take any action though they informed through Jamath. The parents of the other affected girls had also come there and given complaint. However, no action was taken against the accused.

17. P.W.7. Nazeer, has spoken about the arguments that had taken place between the Mosque Head man Alaudin, the junior paternal uncle of the minor victim girl and two other persons. To that effect even after two days, no action was taken by the Mosque head man. The Mosque headman told that he enquired the accused and he made a promise on the Quran and stated that nothing had happened and was thrown out by the Head man.

18. P.W.8 Abdul Rahman / independent witness who is a neighbour of the defacto compensation has corroborated the evidence of P.W.7.

19. P.W.9 / Manoharan, who was the member of Thowheed Jamath has deposed that he came to know about the occurrence from the parents of the victims and they gave complaint against the accused. Since no action was taken by them, they lodged a complaint before the Tiruppur All Women Police Station. He along with Mohammed Sabeer went to Police Station where they were examined by the Police and in the Police Station, they saw the accused



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

who was enquired by the Police and his confession was recorded. He has identified his signature found in the confession statement.

20. As regards the potency certificate of the accused, Dr.Prakash, (P.W.10) deposited that the accused was produced before him based on the order of the Court and on medical examination, he issued the Accident Register and medical Certificate (Ex.P5 and Ex.P6).

21. Dr.Pavithra, P.W.12 is the Doctor who has done the medical examination on the victims. When P.W.12 enquired the victim 'X', she told that her Tuition master sexually harassed her from 01.05.2017 till 13.05.2017. On the same day, the other victim 'Y' girl was produced before her and when she was enquired, she reiterated the above said details. Ex.P8 to Ex.P11 are Accident Registers and Medical Certificates.

22. P.W.11 Ayyammal, Sub Inspector of All women Police, Tiruppur North All Woman Police Station, registered the case in Crime No,17/2017. On receipt of the complaint from P.W.4, the case was registered under Section 3(f), 3(l), 3(m) r/w. 10 of POCSO Act, 2012.

23. Upon the receipt of the First Information Report, P.W.14, Mohana



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

Sundari who is the Investigating Officer commenced investigation of the case, by proceeding to the scene of occurrence, Thirumuruganpoondi Mosque and prepared Observation Mahazar and Rough sketch (Ex.P13 and ExP14). The statements of witnesses viz., Aabitha Banu, Victims 'X' and 'Y', Ibrahim, Ramji, Sameem, Aarif, Mohammed, Aneez, Nazeer, Abdul Rahman, Asraf and Noor Islam were recorded. She sent the victims to the medical examination to Tiruppur Government Hospital through a women Police viz., Manimegalai. The accused was absconding and surrendered thereafter he was sent to judicial custody. On requisition, he was given Police custody and his confession statement were recorded in the presence of witnesses. Upon the order of the Court, the Judicial Magistrate, Avinashi, recorded the statement of victims, under Section 164 of C.P.C., / Ex.P15 series. The accused was medically examined on 01.03.2017 at the Tiruppur Government Hospital as per the order of the Court. She obtained the medical records from Dr.Prakash and Dr.Pavithra and enquired them. The Police Constables were enquired by her and final report was filed altering the case to Section 5(f), (l), (m) r/w. 6 (2 counts) of POCSO Act, 2012 [Charge alteration report (Ex.P16)]. Final Report was laid under the provisions as mentioned supra. P.W.1 has given statement that her brother has seen the misdemeanor of the accused and conveyed the same to his mother.

24. Dr.Prakash (P.W.10), Medical Officer of Tiruppur Government Medical Hospital, would state that the accused Kadhar Moideen, 52 years was



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

sent for medical Examination as per the order of the Court. Accident Register and Medical Records pertaining to the accused are Ex.P5 and Ex.P6.

25. It is the evidence of Dr.Pavithra (P.W.12) that on 07.07.2017, she examined two minor girls ('X' and 'Y') in connection with Crime No.17/2017 of Tiruppur North All Women Police Station along with Police Constables Manimegalai and Kamatchi. When she enquired the victims, they told that their Tuition Master sexually abused them from 01.05.2017 to 13.05.2017.

26. On perusal of the medical records, it appears that both the victims were given counseling after obtaining opinion from the Pediatrician. On the same day at 9.30 p.m., both the victims were medically examined by Dr.Pavithra / P.W.12.

27. Suggestions were put forth to P.W.4 and P.W.5 by the accused side to the effect that since the brother of the victims has committed small mistakes, he was asked not to attend Arabic Class and therefore, at the instance of the victim's brother, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against the accused.



WEB COPY

28. Even for a moment, if the said allegations are presumed to be true, no mother would lodge a complaint that her minor daughters were sexually harassed. The birth extracts of the victims are marked as Ex.P2 and Ex.P3. On the date of complaint i.e., 07.07.2017 the victims are aged about 11 years and 10 years. At the instance of P.W.4, the mother of the victims the case was registered on 07.07.2017. P.W.4 has spoken about the complaints made to various Mosques. As there was no positive response from the Mosque head man, she lodged the complaint before Tiruppur North All Women Police Station.

29. From the evidence of victims, it is pellucid that they were attending the Arabic class which was taken by the accused and on a particular day, they did not go for Arabic class. This was taken note of by the father of the minor children and on his enquiry, his daughter did not disclose anything. When the paternal grandmother enquired one of the victims, she appeared to have disclosed the commissions of the accused, who in turn informed the same to her son P.W.5 who is the father of the victims.

30. It is relevant to note that in Ex.P15-series recorded under 164 Cr.P.C., in her own words, P.W.1 (one of the minor victim girl) has stated that “நான் எங்க அம்மா கிட்ட சொன்னா அடிப்பாங்கன்னு சொல்ல” which would



reflect the fact that minors normally will have hesitation to disclose about the sexual assault to their parents. The version of the victims were well corroborated by the evidence of their parents P.W.4 and P.W.5. Of course, there are some contradictions, but the same cannot be taken as a reason for discrediting the evidence of P.W.1 and P.W.2. Even at the time of medical examination, the victims have narrated about the occurrence to Dr.Pavithra P.W.12 that they were sexually harassed by their Arabic Teacher.

31. Since it is a Teacher student relationship, the victims being students of the accused, they have to obey the commands of the teacher and that without knowing the consequences of the same, they obeyed his commands since the victims are minors aged 11 and 10 years. The accused had sexually harassed both the victims as spoken by them. P.W.1 has in her evidence clearly stated that he hugged her though she refused and on another day, he took both the victims to bathroom, hugged them and kissed them and inserted his fingers in the private parts of the victims and he pressed their breast. P.W.2 also corroborated the same. Taking advantage of the age of the victims, the accused had committed the above offences many times and continuously harassed the victims sexually as mentioned supra.



WEB COPY

32. Law is well settled when the punishment is higher, the evidence should be stronger. The evidence of P.W.1 and P.W.2 have been corroborated by their statements recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C., Therefore, relying upon the evidence of the victims, their parents, supporting witnesses coupled with the medical evidence, it is clear that when the victims were attending Arabic Class, the accused by taking them to open terrace, sexually assaulted them. Hence, the charges are proved under Sections 5(f), (l), (m) of POCSO Act, 2012.

33. Though the charges were framed under Sections 5(f), (l), (m) of POCSO Act, 2012 as there is no penetrative sexual assault, the trial Court found the accused guilty under Section 9 (f), (l) and (m) of POCSO Act. Section 9 speaks about aggravated sexual assault. Section 9 (f), (l) and (m) of POCSO Act, are extracted hereunder:

9. Aggravated sexual assault

f. whoever being on the management or staff of an educational institution or religious institution, commits sexual assault on a child in that institution;

(l) whoever commits sexual assault on the child more than once or repeatedly;

(m) whoever commits sexual assault on a child below twelve years;



34. The accused was teaching Arabic to the Muslim children in the Mosque. He is supposed to have acted as a guardian of the children. But, he has sexually misbehaved with the minors. His act is highly condemnable.

35. Based on the aforesaid discussions, the prosecution has clearly established the fact that the accused had sexually assaulted the victims who are minors in a religious place i.e., Mosque. In the sexual offence, the foremost mantra is if the testimony of the victim inspires the confidence of the Court, solely based on the evidence of the victim, the Court can convict the accused for the offence charged. There is no circumstances put forth disregarding testimony of the victims and the evidence of parents. The evidence of victims clearly depicts the fact that he was in the culpable state of mind to sexually assault the victims and he committed the same for more than once. Therefore the presumption arises against the accused as per Section 29 of the POCSO Act. It is a rebuttable presumption. The suggestions were put forth to P.W.4 and P.W.5 to the effect that as their son was sent out from the Arabic Tuition class by the accused, the mother of the victim P.W.4 lodged the complaint against the accused. This aspect is discussed supra and held that this suggestion put forth by the accused is absolutely unacceptable.

36. The prosecution has proved the case beyond all reasonable doubts and the trial Court has rightly found the accused guilty under Sections



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

2 (f), (l), (m) r/w. Section 10 of POCSO Act, 2012 which is a well reasoned Judgment and does not require any interference by this Court.

37. In fine,

- i. This Criminal Appeal is dismissed.
- ii. The conviction and sentence dated 17.10.2018 in Spl.S.C.No.42 of 2017, passed by the Magalir Neethimandrum (Fast track Court) Tiruppur are confirmed.
- iii. The trial Court shall secure the accused by issuing warrant in order to serve the sentence imposed by it, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgement.

13.02.2024

Index: Yes/No
Speaking order/Non speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
vum

R.KALAIMATHI, J.

vum

To



Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

WEB COPY

1. The Sessions Judge,
Magalir Neethimandrum (Fast Track Mahila Court)
Tiruppur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station North,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.
3. The Section Officer,
Criminal Section,
High Court, Madras.
4. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

Crl.A.No.694 of 2018

13.02.2024