



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 378 OF 2024

Mahant Chilojibuva Guru Hamrojibuva .. Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & another .. Respondents

Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Counsel instructed by Mr. Abjijeet Avhad along with Mrs. Meenal Deshmukh, Ms. Vikhyati Jain, Advocates for the Applicant.

Mr. A. R. Kale, APP for the State.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

RESERVED ON : 23rd OCTOBER, 2024.

PRONOUNCED ON : 25th OCTOBER, 2024.

ORDER :

1. Applicant apprehends arrest in connection with Crime No. 0519/2023 registered with Tuljapur Police Station, District Dharashiv for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 409, 381 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code. Though theft is not alleged during the course of hearing, learned APP sought to make submissions in that regard.

2. First informant is the Tahsildar and Manager (Administration) of Shri Tuljabhavani Mandir Sansthan, Tuljapur,

District Dharashiv. It is stated in the First Information Reort that the Collector, Dharashiv appointed a committee for verification of gold and silver ornaments of the Sansthan. It is stated that certain documents and registers are maintained in respect of the ornaments of goddess. It is claimed that in 1963, a register was maintained wherein entries are taken in respect of gold ornaments/articles with number, weight, description etc. Entries in respect of silver ornaments and other articles is taken in the register titled as 'दर्जेवारी अलंकाराशिवाय चांदीच्या वस्तु व वाहन वगैरे रजीस्टर'. It is, however, not reflected from the said register as to the date or year from which the entries are taken. It is claimed that silver articles therein mentioned above are used to be in the custody of (i) Mahant Hamrojibuva Guru, Chilojibuva Math, (ii) Mahant Wakojibuva Guru Tukojuibuva, Mahant Bajajibuva Guru Wakojibuva Mahant Tukojuibuva Guru Bajajibuva Math, (iii) Assistant Manager (Religious), (iv) Shri Palange who was giving service (Sevedari Palange). In the year 2011, the trust committee decided to prepare album of the ornaments from Box Nos. 1 to 7 and accordingly, ornaments were counted, photographs were taken and entry was taken of the same in a separate register. In the year 2018, while seeking transfer of charge from Assistant Manager (Religious), a committee was formed under the chairmanship of Mr.

Amit Bharti and the charge was given from Deelip Naikwadi to Siddheshwar Sugriv. At the time of transfer of said charge, two registers were prepared. Those registers are named as “सोने महंत” and “चांदी महंत”. In register “सोने महंत”, entries are taken in respect of ornaments and articles in possession of the present Applicant and in “चांदी महंत” register, entries are taken in respect of silver ornaments in custody of Assistant Manager (Religious). It is further stated therein that in respect of gold or silver articles devoted by the devotees in the counter box kept in the office, two separate registers are maintained. Similarly, 7 photographs of Box Nos. 1 to 7 and ornaments kept therein without mentioning date and day are available with the trust. In 2011, a decision was taken to prepare photo album of the ornaments from Box Nos. 1 to 7. 7 photo albums were prepared and the said photographs were signed by the then Naib Tahsildar. Similarly, on 30.06.2011, a register is maintained of the ornaments and articles. Articles which were not found, entry of the same is also taken.

3. It is further stated that the ornaments/articles kept in Box Nos. 1 to 5 and 7 so also silver articles in the custody of Assistant Manager (Religious) are not used daily but are used

occasionally during festival times. The room wherein these boxes are kept has one lock. There are two keys, one is with Applicant and another is with the office. At the time of removal of valuables, presence of Applicant, Tahsildar and Assistant Manager (Religious) is mandatory. The articles/ornaments from Box No. 6 are kept in the western part of the said room. The said room is exclusively with the Applicant. These articles are used for performing daily rituals. The articles received from the devotees are kept with the trust. It is claimed that since January 2009, gold utilised was in his charge. In the year 2018, gold and silver articles were combine and verified and record is maintained in respect of the same. It is stated that 71 historical coins were not found at the time of taking over of charge by Assistant Manager (Religious). Offence came to be registered against Naikwadi vide Crime No. 323/2020. It is also stated in the report that gold and silver ornaments are melted under supervision of the committee and the said entire process is videographed.

4. The Collector appointed a 12 members Committee for the purpose of submitting report in respect of the ornaments of the goddess, articles which were not found including 71 ancient coins. The number of members of the Committee was increased from 12 to

16. On 03.10.2023, report was submitted by the said Committee. It is stated by the Committee that gold crown which was found in Box No. 3 is in accordance with the photo album of 2011 but inconsistent with old album. Similarly, from the iron box which is in the custody of Assistant Manager one more gold crown was found. The said gold crown was sent for examination to jeweller who has given report in this regard. It is alleged that the ornaments and gold and silver articles were not found and thus mis-appropriation has been committed of the same. In respect of Box Nos. 1 to 7, 17 articles/ornaments were said to have been mis-appropriated. Allegation is made against the present Applicant as well as then Mahant of the temple. Similarly, separate allegation was made in respect of mis-appropriation of silver ornaments/articles and 12 such ornaments/articles are said to have been mis-appropriated. It is alleged that the Applicant and co-accused were in custody of the said articles/ornaments and hence are responsible for misplacement of the same.

5. Learned Senior Counsel for the Applicant submits that record indicates that offence came to be registered against Naikwadi in Crime No. 323/2020 wherein same allegations were made in

respect of mis-appropriation of gold and silver articles and ornaments. It is stated that the Applicant herein was witness in the said crime and his statement is also taken. It is his submission that the Applicant is sought to be falsely implicated in this crime in order to protect the real culprits and the Government authorities who could be responsible for the said mis-appropriation. It is his submission that though allegation is made in respect of gold crown being changed, he referred to the opinion given by the Jeweller that on the basis of the photograph, the crown which is available with the Applicant is the same crown. It is his further submission that the report submitted by the Committee also indicates that infact more than the entries in the register actual stock is found in the said box. It is his submission that there is endorsement made by the Committee in the year 2018 that there is possibility of entries being not taken in the register of the ornaments/articles received by way of donation. It is his submission that the allegation in respect of 71 ancient coins going missing does not attribute to the Applicant as the said coins were always in the custody of Assistant Manager and not Mahant. It is his submission that the second First Information Report in respect of the same incident is prohibited by law. He claims that the Applicant is aged about 70 years and in any event his

custodial interrogation is not necessary. He places reliance on following judgments :-

- (i) Nimmagadda Prasad vs. Central Bureau of Investigation
AIR 2013 Supreme Court 2821.
- (ii) Awadesh Kumar Jha @ Akhilesh Kumar Jha and others
2016(1) Bom.C.R. (Cri.) 542.
- (iii) Tarak Desh Mukharjee & others vs. State of Uttar Pradesh
DLD (Cri) 2022-1760
- (iv) Prateek Bansal vs. State of Rajasthan and others
DLD (Cri) 2024-3247
- (v) Sanjeev Nagpaul vs. State of Tamil Nadu
2001 STPL(LE)29918 SC
- (vi) Sumedh Singh Saini vs. State of Punjab and another
Criminal Appeal No. 827/2020.

6. Learned APP opposed grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that there is admission of Applicant himself that he was in the custody of Box Nos. 1 to 7 and thus, the ornaments/articles therein is his responsibility. It is submitted that on the basis of affidavit given by the erstwhile Mahant that from 23.11.1975 the Applicant has assumed charge as Mahant and has taken keys from his predecessor. It is submitted that a Committee was formed by the Collector to ascertain said mis-appropriation which is highlighted in the newspaper. He drew attention of the Court to the report

submitted by the Committee in order to indicate that there are number of articles and though articles are available, they are not in the same form such as precious stones and pearls are found missing from there. He has further argued that on the basis of old photographs the articles are verified and it is clear that there is mis-appropriation of the same. He placed reliance on the statement of the Applicant given during the course of enquiry conducted by the Committee. During the course of hearing, pursuant to the query made by this Court, it is sought to be argued that in respect of gold crown, it is a case of attempted theft. He took this Court through the report indicating articles in 7 boxes more particularly articles in Box No. 6. It is alleged that this is a case of mis-appropriation of 1.860 kg. gold and 20 kg. silver. In response to this submission, learned Senior Counsel for the Applicant has drawn attention of the Court to the charge-sheet filed in Crime No. 323/2020 wherein there is allegation of mis-appropriation of exact amount of gold and silver. Learned APP submits that in order to recover the stolen articles, custodial interrogation of the Applicant is necessary.

7. In order to prima facie appreciate as to whether a case has been made out against the present Applicant of commission of

offence as alleged against him, the material placed on record is considered for that purpose and to a limited extent. There is no dispute about the fact that in respect of the alleged mis-appropriation of ornaments, gold and silver etc., Crime No. 323/2020 came to be registered and after conclusion of investigation, charge-sheet is filed against sole accused Naikwadi. Further as per the submissions made across the bar by learned APP, seven boxes containing ornaments and articles used for adorning the goddess were kept in a safe room. The door of the said room can be opened with two keys. It is thus clear that at all time, whenever any article from any box is to be removed from the said room, unless two keys; one with the Applicant as Chief Priest and another with the administration, are used, the main door cannot be opened. It is further submitted on behalf of the prosecution that there are two compartments on entry into the said room, and Box No. 6 contains ornaments and articles used daily for adorning goddess or for performing her pooja is kept in exclusive custody of Applicant. Box Nos. 1 to 5 and 7 contain other ornaments and articles which are used occasionally i.e. during festival time are kept separately. Thus, even as per the case of prosecution, the only box i.e. Box No. 6 was in exclusive possession/custody of Applicant and the articles therefrom are used

daily. Insofar as the other boxes it cannot be said that Applicant has its exclusive custody.

8. Affidavit of Mahant Hamrojibuva executed on 27.11.1995 clearly indicates that from that date present Applicant became Mahant (Chief Priest) and since then, he is performing the duties as Mahant and was also having custody of the articles of safe room.

9. Allegations are made against the Applicant for committing offences punishable under Sections 420 and 409 of Indian Penal Code. Though it is not alleged in the First Information Report that this is a case of commission of theft, during the course of arguments, learned APP submitted that this is a case of theft. When he was confronted with the material on record in respect of the alleged theft of golden crown pointing out that in fact there are two gold crowns available, one with Administration and another with the Applicant, and that there is a report of jeweller confirming the crown with Applicant to be the same as it appears in the old photo album, it is sought to be argued that this is a case of attempted theft. Apart from this, perusal of record indicates that after such information was given by the jeweller pursuant to the order passed by the Collector in

this regard, now it is said that the jeweller did not verify the crown scientifically and that age of the metal is not determined. It is now, a request is made to the expert to ascertain the same. It is thus clear that even without ascertaining the genuineness or otherwise of the crown, allegation is sought to be made that there is a theft committed or sought to be committed of the said crown. Suffice it to say that at this stage, there is absolutely no evidence to indicate that the crown is not original or there is an attempt to substitute the same. Pertinently, both the crowns are found in the record even prior to 2018. From perusal of record, there is reason to believe that the record of the temple trust is not maintained meticulously/properly and as a result of which and result of the same in both the crowns being not reflected in the record is possible. To accept the contention of the prosecution that the present Applicant attempted theft is nothing but surmise unsupported by material on record.

10. The allegations made against Applicant in First Information Report are dealt with hereinafter :-

Box No.	Items	Remarks	Observation of Court
	मंगलसूत्र जडावी रेशमासह मोत्यासह	मंगलसूत्राच्या एका पदकाचे ०२ मोती नाहीत. तसेच पदकाचे ०२ मोती नाहीत. सन २०११ चे फोटो	There is no allegation that the articles themselves

Box No. 1		अल्वममध्येही दिसून येत नाही.	are missing but it is claimed that valuable stones/pearls are missing from some ornaments. There is no material to indicate that when these articles have come into the custody of the Applicant the same were not as they are found today but with pearls and stones etc. No old photographs are available, to confirm allegation.
	कान जोड जडावी मोत्यासह	कान जोड मधील पानाडीमध्ये ०१ खडा दिसून आलेला नाही. सन २०११ चे फोटो अल्वममध्ये खडा दिसून येत नाही.	
	छत्र जडावाचे मोत्यासह सोन्याची काडी	छत्र जडावाचे ०१ छोटा माणिक व ०४ लहान मोती दिसून येत नाहीत. सन २०११ चे फोटो अल्वममधील फोटोनुसार पडताळणी करणे शक्य नाही.	
	पट्टी जडावी	सन १९६३ च्या अलंकार रजिस्ट्रप्रमाणे कलगीचा समावेश मुकूट कळसासह व कलगी पट्टी जडावी यासह आहे. त्याचे एकत्रित वजन १८४२.०० ग्रॅम आहे. पट्टी जडावी याअलंकाराचे FIR नुसार ०१ माणिक नाही या विषयासवी पडताळणी करणेकरिता ०१ नंबरची अलंकार पेटी समिती सदस्यांसमक्ष पुन्हा दिनांक ३१/०८/२०२३ रोजी दुपारी ०२.२० वाजता उघडण्यात आली व तपासणी करण्यात आली. यावेळी ०३ छोटे लाल मणी अलंकारामध्ये दिसून आले नाहीत.	
Box No. 2	नेत्रजोड जडावी मेनासह	सन २०२३ तपासणीत नग क. ०१ मध्ये छोटा ०१ लहान माणिक खडा दिसून येत नाही. नग क. ०२ मध्ये ०१ माणिक खडे दिसून येत नाहीत. सन २०११ चे अल्वममध्येही सदरचे माणिक खडे दिसून येत नसल्याचे निदर्शनास येते. जुने उपलब्ध अल्वममध्ये नग क. ०१ मध्ये ०१ माणिक दिसून येत नाही व नग क. ०२ मध्ये ०२ माणिक खडे दिसून येत नाहीत.	There is no allegation that the articles themselves are missing but it is claimed that valuable stones/pearls are missing from some ornaments. There is no material to indicate that when these articles have come into the custody of the Applicant the same were not as they are found today but with pearls and stones etc. No old photographs are available, to confirm allegation.
Box No. 3	नेत्र जडावी मेनासह	नेत्र जोडीतील एका नेत्राचे ०१ माणिक दिसून येत नाही. जुन्या अल्वम मध्ये व २०११ च्या अल्वम मध्येही माणिक दिसून येत नाही.	Allegations against Mahant Hamrojibuva (deceased).
	मंगळसुत्र जडावी रेशमासह	मंगळसुत्र वाटीतील ०१ माणिक व मंगळसुत्राच्या वाटीचे वरील भागातील ०१ मोती दिसून येत	Article is not missing but pearls and stones are

		नाही. उपलब्ध जुने अल्बममध्ये दिसून येतात. परंतु २०११ चे अल्बममध्येही वरील नमुद माणिक व मोती दिसून येत नाहीत.	missing, which is possible in course of time while use thereof.
	मुकुट सोन्याचा चांदीचा पत्रा रेशमासह	अलंकार पेटी क. ०३ मधील मुकुट सोन्याचा हा अलंकार सन २०११ मधील फोटो अल्बम प्रमाणे दिसून येतो. परंतु पेटी क. ०३ मधील अलंकारेचे फोटो ज्या जुन्या अल्बममध्ये आहेत त्या अल्बम मधील मुकुट हा प्रत्यक्षा पहाणी केलेल्या मुकुटापेक्षा वेगळा दिसून येत आहे.	There is opinion of jeweller that the golden crown is as per old photograph of the same. Till date no contrary evidence shown. There is no ascertainment of the guinness or otherwise of the article.
Box No. 4	खडाव जोड चांदीचे	सन १९६३ च्या रजिस्टर प्रमाणे २२// भार वजन असल्याचे दिसून येते. १. अलंकार डब्यातील दि. २४/०६/१९७६ च यादीवर खडाव जोड चांदीचे not seen अशी नोंद आहे. २. सन २०११ व सन २०१८ चे रजिस्टरला सदर अलंकार दिसून आला नाही असा शेष असलेली नोंद आहे. ३. आज दि. २८/०७/२०२३ रोजीच्या तपासणी मध्ये सदर अलंकार दिसून आला नाही. सदर अलंकार डब्या क. ०४ चे फोटो ज्या जुना अल्बम मध्ये आहेत त्या अल्बम मध्ये सदर अलंकाराचा फोटो दिसून येत नाही.	In the list of 1976, it is recorded that this article is not seen as per entry dated 05.08.2000
Box No. 5	खडाव जोड चांदीचे	सन १९६३ च्या रजिस्टर प्रमाणे ३४ भार वजन असल्याचे दिसून येते. १. अलंकार डब्यातील दि. २४/०६/१९७६ च्या यादीवर खडाव जोड चांदीचे Not Found अशी नोंद दि. ०७/०८/२००० रोजी नोंद आहे. २. सन २०११ व सन २०१८ चे रजिस्टरला सदर अलंकार दिसून आला नाही असा शेष असलेली नोंद आहे. ३. आज दि. ३१/०७/२०२३ रोजीच्या तपासणी मध्ये सदर अलंकार दिसून आला नाही. ४. अलंकार डबा क. ०७ चा जुना अल्बम उपलब्ध नाही.	There is no allegation that the articles themselves are missing but it is claimed that valuable stones/pearls are missing from some ornaments. There is no material to indicate that when these articles have come into the custody of the Applicant the same were not as they are found today but with pearls and stones etc. No photographs indicating existence of the same.

Box No. 7	शेवतीचे फुल चांदी व मोती - ६ व सोन्याचे तुकडे	सन १९६३ मधील रजिस्टरला सदर अलंकाराचे वजन ०२ मासे असे नमूद आहे. तसेच सोन्याचे तुकडे व मोती ०६ दिसून आले नाहीत. सन २०११ चे फोटो अल्बममध्ये सोन्याचे तुकडे व मोती ६ दिसून येतात.	It is not the case that Applicant has exclusive custody of this box, like Box No. 6. As per the procedure, the box cannot be opened independently by Applicant. Photographs or record show that the boxes are in sealed condition.
	तानवटे जोड सोन्याचे जडावी	सदर अलंकारातील गोल रिंग मधील प्रत्येकी ०३ खडे दिसून येत नाहीत. सन १९७७ व २०११ च्या फोटो अल्बममध्येही खडे दिसून येत नाहीत.	
	माचपट्टा जडावी	जुने व सन २०११ चे फोटो अल्बम मध्ये ०३ हिरवे पावू दिसून येत नाहीत. तसेच आज येजीच्या तपासणीमध्येही ०३ हिरवे पावू दिसून येत नाहीत.	
	मुकूट चांदीचा रेशमासह	सन १९६३ चे रजिस्टर प्रमाणे वजन ४३ भार नमूद असल्याचे दिसून येते. सन १९६३ चे यादीनुसार आज येजीचे तपासणीमध्ये सदर अलंकार दिसून आला नाही. तसेच सन २०११ व २०१८ चे रजिस्टरवर मुकूट चांदीचा दिसून आला नाही अशी नोंद आहे.	

11. As far as Box Nos. 1 to 5 and 7 are concerned, they are not in exclusive custody of the Applicant. These articles are not used daily. Photographs placed on record by the prosecution indicate that these boxes are kept in one big box and as it can be seen from the photographs that these boxes are sealed. These articles are used only occasionally i.e. during festival times and except in the presence of representative of administration, the same could not have been opened and used. Pertinently, no person from administration has been made accused.

12. As far as box No. 6 which was exclusively in the custody of the present Applicant is concerned, report indicates as follows :-

Box No.	Items	Remarks	Observations of Court
Box No. 6	पिंपळपान जडावी सौन्याचे साखळीसह	नादुरुस्त. १. १४ साधे खडे पडल्याचे दिसून येतात. २. जुन्या अल्बम मधील फोटो मध्ये व सध्याच्या उपलब्ध दागीन्यामध्ये फरक दिसून येत आहे. तथापी, सन २०११ चे अल्बममधील फोटो नुसार सदरचा अलंकार जुळतो आहे.	It reveals from the report that the said article is not missing but is not in a position to be used. It is claimed that there is a difference in the photograph of the article and existing article. There is nothing to show that any expert opinion is sought in this regard to hold so.
	नथ मोत्याची	सन १९६३ रजिस्टरला व जुन्या अल्बम मधील फोटो मध्ये नथ लाल खड्यासह दिसून येत आहे. परंतु सध्याच्या अलंकारानुसार दागीन्यामध्ये फरक दिसून येत आहे. तथापी, सन २०११ चे अल्बम मधील फोटो नुसार सदरचा अलंकार जुळतो आहे.	It is claimed that there is a difference in the photograph of the article and existing article. There is nothing to show that any expert opinion is sought in this regard to hold so.
	खडाव जोड वांदीचा	सन १९६३ चे रजिस्टर प्रमाणे वजन ३१ भार असून सन २०११ व २०१८ चे रजिस्टरला नोंद दिसून येत नाही. प्रत्यक्ष आज येजी सदर अंकार दिसून आला नाही.	No photograph to idnicate it being available in 1975 to attribute its missing to Applicant.
	मंगळसुत्र साखळीसह १२ पदर व ११ पुतळ्या	१९६३ चे अलंकार रजिस्टर वर सदर अलंकाराची नोंद आहे त्याचे वजन ६३ भार आहे. जुने अल्बम मध्ये फोटो उपलब्ध आहे. सदर फोटोनुसार अलंकार आज येजी दिसून येत नाही. सन २०११ व २०१८ चे अंकार रजिस्टर व २०११ चे फोटो अल्बम वर सदर अलंकाराची नोंद नाही. परंतु २०१८ चे अलंकार रजिस्टरवर दि. १८/०१/२००९ येजी सदर अलंकार वितळविण्याकरीता जमा करण्यात आले असे श्री. नाइकवाडी दिलीप व महंत विलोजीबुवा यांनी सांगितले असलेली रजिस्टर पान क्र. १०४ नोंद आहे.	There is remark in the ornament register dated 18.01.2009 that these ornaments are sent for melting.

13. It is pertinent to note that in the report of the committee there are observations that missing articles from the custody of Assistant Manager (Religious) include चांदीचा खडाव जोड, चांदीची बिंदगी, चांदीची कडी १, चांदीची तलवार १ म्यानासह, चांदीचे लहान ताट १ व चांदी. However, he is not made an accused. This Court, therefore, finds substance in the contention of learned Senior Counsel for the Applicant that this could be a case of over implication, if not false implication.

14. Though it is sought to be argued that there is a huge mis-appropriation to the extent of gold of more than 1.860 kg and silver 20 kg., however, the same gold and silver is said to have been mis-appropriated by accused in Crime No. 323/2020. This is not a case wherein further investigation has been carried out in respect of same crime with intimation to the concerned Court. Filing of charge-sheet against the said accused indicates that prosecution after finding substance in the allegation and on the basis of evidence came to the conclusion that he is responsible for the same and hence, final report is filed before the Court.

15. Aforestated facts indicate that even without ascertaining that there is actual theft of the articles or there is substitution thereof, offence is registered selectively against Applicant and others. Applicant is aged about 70 years with no criminal history behind him. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre vs State of Maharashtra & others, AIR 2011 Supreme Court 312 has observed thus:-

“ The nature and gravity of the accusation and the role palyed by the accused need to be properly comprehended. It is also to be seen whether accusations ahve been made only with the object of injuring or humilating the applicant and impact of grant of anticipatory bail particularly in cases of large magnitude affecting a very large number of people. It is further held that the Court must evalute the entire available material against the accused very carefully and strict balance has to be maintained beteen the libery of the applicant and theright of the Investigating Agency to carry out investigation.”

16. In considered view of this Court, having regard to the above facts, prima facie this report seems to be selective possibility of over implication is not ruled out. Therefore, Applicant's liberty deserves to be protected. He is not likely to flee. Appropriate

directions to him to attend concerned police station and appear before the Investigating Officer and to cooperate in the investigation will be sufficient for further effective investigation.

ORDER

- (i) Till next date, in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with Crime No. 0519/2023, registered with Tuljapur Police Station, District Dharashiv, for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 409, 381 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, he be released on bail on furnishing PR Bond of Rs. 30,000/- (Rs. Thirty Thousand only) with one or two sureties in the like amount.
- (ii) He shall attend the concerned police station twice in a week for a period of one month and thereafter as and when called by the Investigating Officer.
- (iii) He shall not contact the witnesses directly or indirectly.
- (iv) He shall not interfere with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.
- (v) He is further directed to cooperate the investigating agency for further investigation.

(vi) Learned APP to communicate this order to the concerned Investigating Officer.

(R. M. JOSHI)
Judge

dyb