

GAHC020004962022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : Review.Pet./10/2022

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
INDIA
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

B- WING
4TH FLOOR
SENA BHAWAN
NEW DELHI - 110011

2: THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

BORDER ROAD ORGANIZATION
SEEMA SADAK BHAWAN
RING ROAD
DELHI CANTONMENT

NEW DELHI - 110011

3: THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT SEWAK(GREF)

C/O 99 APO
VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

3:ANIE PAIRA

W/O LATE KUMAR PAIRA

R/O MIDLAND
DIMAPUR

DISTRICT - DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S.K. MEDHI

Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND
AND 2 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

06.09.2024

Heard Mr. S. K. Medhi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. N. Solo, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3.

The present review petition was filed seeking review of judgment and order dated 10.03.2022 passed in WP(C) No. 86(K)/2020.

It is submitted by Mr. Medhi, learned counsel for the petitioner that the land acquisition compensation in question has already been deposited before the Deputy Commissioner, District Dimapur through State Bank of India, Cash Management Product Fund Transfer. Such fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3.

In view of the aforesaid, the contempt petition filed alleging non compliance of this Court's judgment and order dated 10.03.2022 passed in WP(C) No. 86(K)/2020 has also been closed.

In the aforesaid background, Mr. Medhi, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the order has already been complied with, this Court may recall only the order of cost imposed upon the petitioner under its order dated 10.03.2022 passed in WP(C) No. 86(K)/2020 to the tune of Rs. 50,000/-.

The learned counsel for the respondent No. 3 on instruction submits that she has no objection if the cost is dispensed with for the reason that the land acquisition compensation has already been deposited.

Considering the above, this Court is of the view that this review petition can be closed without recalling the earlier order dated 10.03.2022 passed in WP(C) No. 86(K)/2020, however, the cost of Rs. 50,000/- imposed is recalled as the land acquisition compensation has already been deposited.

Accordingly the review petition stands closed.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant