

GAHC020004392024



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/22/2024

SHRI LENRUMEW (ACCUSED) AND 2 ORS
S/O KIUTSANGKIU
R/O NEW VONGDI VILLAGE
PO/PS- PUNGRO
DIST- KIPHIRE, NAGALAND

2: SHRI MUGHAHO AYE (VICTIM)
S/O VIHOI
R/O H.NO. 73-A
HARALU COLONY
BURMA CAMP
DIST- DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

3: SHRI SOLOMON (VICTIM)
S/O SIDDAK ALI SHEIKH
R/O H.NO. 72
MIDDLE POINT COLONY
OPPOSITE PENTALOON SHOPPING COMPLEX
DIST- DIMAPUR
NAGALAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

Advocate for the Petitioner : P SURIEN

Advocate for the Respondent : P.P, NAGALAND

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA**

ORDER

Date : 12.08.2024

Heard Mr. Pfosekho Pfotte, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. S. Mere, learned Public Prosecutor for the State respondent No. 1.

This is an application for amendment of the criminal petition No. 16/2024 which was jointly filed by the accused as well as by the victim for quashing the FIR as well as the charge-sheet filed against the accused No. 1. It is submitted that in the cause title of the criminal petition No. 16/2024, the charge-sheet No. 42/2023 dated 30.10.2023 was not incorporated inadvertently and at the same time in the prayer portion also the prayer for quashing of the charge-sheet was also not there and accordingly, as per the order dated 25.06.2024, the present I.A has been filed for correction/amendment of the prayer portion as well as the cause title of the criminal petition No. 16/2024.

The portions which are to be amended have already been incorporated separately in paragraph No. 4 as I & II of the present application.

Ms. Mere, learned Public Prosecutor raised no objection in that regard.

Accordingly, considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also considering the other aspects of the case. The present interlocutory application is allowed and the petitioner is allowed to file a corrected/amended petition, a copy may be served accordingly to the State respondent.

In view of above, this interlocutory application stands allowed and disposed of.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant