

GAHC030005872024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/95/2024

Sh. Hari Dash Chakma and 4 Ors.
S/o Sudar Sen Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

2: Sh. Sadhan Mitra Chakma
S/o Dandi Raja Chakma
R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

3: Sh. Maha Raj Chakma
S/o Patosya Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

4: Sh. Rabati Ranjan Chakma
S/o Prolhad Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

5: Smt. Demkuli Chakma
D/o Biranga Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram.
VERSUS

The Chief Executive Member
Chakma Autonomous District Council and 6 Ors.
through the Executive Secretary
Kamalanagar
District Lawngtlai
Mizoram

2:The Executive Member i/c Local Administration Dept.
Chakma Autonomous District Council
through the Local Administration Officer

3:Sh. Satanya Lal Chakma
S/o Chigon Chand Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

4:Sh. Amullya Ratan Chakma
S/o Kolish Kumar Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

5:Sh. Parimal Chakma
S/o Noloni Kumar Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

6:Sh. Sunila Chakma
S/o Grish Chandra Chakma

R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

7:Sh. Dulai Chakma
S/o Loni Mohan Chakma
R/o Borkolok
Lawngtlai District
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Ashok Kumar Rokhum
Advocate for the Respondent :

ORDER
BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

13.09.2024

Heard Ms. Simran Thapa, learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the Order dated 13.09.2024 passed in I.A.(C) No. 159/2024, the Chakma Autonomous District Council, represented by the Executive Secretary of the Chakma Autonomous District Council, Kamalanagar, Lawngtlai District, Mizoram and the Secretary to the Government of Mizoram, District Council & Minority Affairs Department, Aizawl, Mizoram have been impleaded as respondent Nos. 8 and 9.

Issue notice to the respondent Nos. 8 & 9.

Mrs. Linda L. Fambawl, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 9. Petitioner shall take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 8 by registered post with A/D within a week.

In terms of the Order dated 29.08.2024, the petitioner was to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 by *dasti* mode routed through the Registry of this Court. Thereafter, an affidavit was to be submitted by the petitioner.

An affidavit dated 04.09.2024 has been submitted by the petitioner, which states that notice has been served through *dasti* mode upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 7. However, a perusal of the notice served through *dasti* mode shows that all the notices had been served upon one Mr. Amarendra Chakma, the Liaison Officer (Administration) of the CADC, Aizawl. The notices have not been served upon the concerned

respondents in question. Accordingly, the service of notice through *dasti* mode had not been complied with by the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petitioner's counsel submits that they may be allowed to serve notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 through registered post with A/D within a week.

The prayer of the petitioner's counsel is allowed.

Petitioner to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 by registered post with A/D within a week.

List the matter **after 4 (four) weeks**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant