

GAHC030002892024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/81/2024

Shanti Kumar Chakma and 575 Ors.
S/o Surthar Rankan Chakma, R/o Chotapansury

VERSUS

The Union of India and 11 Ors.
R/b the Secretary to the govt. of India Ministry of Home Affairs Department of
Border Management BM-III, NDCC-II Building, Jai Singh Road, New Delhi-110001
2:The Secretary to the Government of Mizoram
Land Revenue and Settlement Department

Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

3:The Director
Land Revenue and Settlement Department

Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

4:The asst. Settlement Officer - II
Directorate of Land
Revenue and Settlement Department

Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

For the applicants : Mr. C. Lalfakzuala, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. Zairemsangpuii, C.G.C.
For respondent Nos.1 to 5
Ms. Vanneihsiami, Govt. Advocate
For respondent Nos.8 & 9

– B E F O R E –

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. VIJAY BISHNOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

06-09-2024

(Vijay Bishnoi, C.J.)

The matter comes up for consideration of IA(Civil) No.81/2024 filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 with the prayer for condonation of delay of 204 days in filing the connected writ appeal.

It appears that the notices of the interlocutory application have been served upon all the respondents except respondent No.7. It is to be noticed that the respondent No.7 is the Deputy General Manager, National Projects Construction Corporation Ltd. whereas notice upon the respondent No.6, i.e. the Chairman and Managing Director of the National Projects Construction Corporation Ltd has already been served.

Taking into consideration the fact that notice upon the respondent No.6 has already been served, we deem it appropriate to waive the service upon the respondent No.7, who is the Director of the respondent No.6 Corporation.

Ms. Zairemsangpuii, learned Central Government Counsel is appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5 and Ms. Vanneihsiami, learned Government Advocate is appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.8 and 9.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and after going through the averments made in this application, we deem it appropriate to allow the prayer for condonation of delay.

Accordingly, the delay of 204 days in filing the connected writ appeal is condoned.

The interlocutory application is disposed of.

Let the connected writ appeal be listed for admission in the next week.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant