

GAHC030001532024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/13/2024

Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd R/b the Chief Manager, Power Grid Corporation
of India Ltd.

North Easter Region, Tuivamit, Aizawl, Mizoram - 796009

VERSUS

Dr. Lalsiampara and 9 Ors.

S/o Thangtinliana

R/o Zarkawt, Aizawl, Mizoram 2:Lalhriatpuia Sailo

R/o Mc. Donald Hill

Zarkawt

Aizawl

3:R. Lalengzika

S/o R. Tlangruala

R/o Chaltlang

Aizawl

4:Lalnunringa

S/o Lalzamlova

R/o Chawnpui Veng

Aizawl

5:Zasanga

S/o Zatluanga (L)

R/o Tuikhuahtlang

Aizawl

6:R. Romawia

S/o Chula (L)

R/o Dawrpui Vengthar

Aizawl

7:Lalremruati
D/o Sangzuala (L)
R/o Chaltlang Mual Veng
Aizawl

8:Vanlalchama
S/o Lalzuala (L)
R/o Chaltlang Venglai
Aizawl

9:Smt. Lalsawmliani
W/o Zasanga

R/o Tuikhuahtlang
Aizawl

10:The District Collector
Aizawl District
Aizawl
Mizora

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Ali Hussain

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R10

ORDER

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

13.05.2024

Heard Mr. Ali Hussain, learned counsel for the petitioner, who prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition, with liberty to file an appropriate petition.

In the case of *Radhey Shyam and Another Vs. Chhabi Nath and Others*, reported in (2015) 5 SCC 423, the Supreme Court has held at paragraph No. 27 as follows:-

“27. Thus, we are of the view that judicial orders of civil courts are not amenable to a writ of certiorari under Article 226. We are also in agreement with the view of the referring Bench that a writ of mandamus does not lie against a private person not discharging any public duty. Scope of Article 227 is different from Article 226.”

The prayer of the petitioner’s counsel is allowed.

Writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to file an appropriate petition.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant